

The background of the entire image is an underwater scene. In the upper half, there is a white rectangular box containing the title. Below the box, a large American flag is seen from below, its stripes flowing upwards. To the left of the flag, a shark is swimming towards the viewer. The water is a clear, vibrant blue with some light reflections on the surface.

**THE UN'S AGENDA**

**2030**

---

**MARXIST STEALTH PLAN  
FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT**

---

by **Arthur R. Thompson**





# **THE UN'S AGENDA**

# **2030**

---

**MARXIST STEALTH PLAN  
FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT**

---

by  
**Arthur R. Thompson**

Published by  
The John Birch Society  
Appleton, Wisconsin

Copyright © May 2021 The John Birch Society  
All rights reserved. No portion of this book may be reproduced in any form.

Published by  
The John Birch Society  
770 N. Westhill Boulevard  
Appleton, Wisconsin 54914  
[www.JBS.org](http://www.JBS.org)

Library of Congress Control Number: 2021937798  
International Standard Book Number (ISBN): 978-1-881919-15-5

Printed in the United States of America

Cover Design: Nilai Lee  
Photo Credit: Viviana Delidaki/ iStock / Getty Images Plus

# CONTENTS

---

Introduction . . . . .	vii
Chapter One: Who Are These People? . . . . .	1
Chapter Two: The Basics of Americanism . . . . .	11
Chapter Three: Americanism vs. Globalism . . . . .	17
Chapter Four: Agenda 21 . . . . .	23
Chapter Five: Local Implementation Hiding Globalism. . . . .	31
Chapter Six: Control Is the Name of the Game . . . . .	37
Chapter Seven: Education for the Earth . . . . .	47
Chapter Eight: Prepare the Way for a World Shutdown . . . . .	57
Chapter Nine: The Great Reset . . . . .	69
Chapter Ten: Building Back Better . . . . .	79
Chapter Eleven: They Want Your Children. . . . .	87
Chapter Twelve: Shop Globally . . . . .	97
Chapter Thirteen: Conclusion . . . . .	105
Index . . . . .	111
About the Author . . . . .	115



# INTRODUCTION

---

Sometimes it is necessary to define terms so the reader understands what the author is talking about. This is one of those times, particularly with what was called Agenda 21, now called Agenda 2030, an agenda within the environmental movement and the United Nations.

The numbers 2030 indicate when the promoters of this agenda plan on having full implementation of their program in place, in other words by the year 2030.

Since this agenda will have a great influence on the movements as well as the property rights of people, it is best that we explore just what these rights are and how they pertain to our lives today.

We shall get into several terms and expressions that will bring forth the thinking of the Founders of the United States and the scope of the documents that comprise the foundation of our free country. It is impossible to grasp the spirit of freedom unless the reader fully understands the foundations of liberty given to us by those who established the United States as an independent country.

The reader will come to understand that the liberty we enjoy as a free nation and a free people is in sharp contrast to the goals of Agenda 2030 and the United Nations, the organiza-



tion through which Agenda 2030 will be implemented.

There is even another aspect of a worldwide agenda run by a centralized government: since it is the nature of government to accumulate power, if there are no checks and balances against its accumulation of power, its power will grow to the detriment of liberty no matter the reason it has been formulated or who formulated it.

If we do not understand the basis for the rights of the people as delineated by our country's Founders, we cannot understand the erosion of these rights by those who mean to rule. Men have always had to deal with those who mean to rule them. The problem has been that often the people have not noticed what was really happening to them until it was too late and they lost their freedom.

More often than not, it is a subtle process, at least in the beginning, particularly if being implemented in a free country. The people tend to trust their leaders and not suspect them until it is too late. This happens because they don't have a general understanding of what is going on, and they don't have a citizen organization working to expose the activities of the foreign and domestic enemies of freedom.

In other words, a concerted campaign to oppose the aims of those who mean to rule must come into play if the people are to remain free. This is what happened during the early years of what became America in opposition to British rule.

People have been subjugated by stealth from within as much as they have by conquest from without. Usually, the history books only talk about the armed conquests; therefore, people generally remain ignorant of how they can be wooed into giving up their liberty by domestic enemies.

Usually, people are subjugated by the use of fear. In other

words, fear is generated by some means, and the government imposes new laws and regulations to overcome whatever it is that causes the fear, real or imagined. When it is all over, the new laws and regulations remain in place. This can be gradual or done in one draconian initiative. The mood of the people and the depth of the emergency will determine whether it is done all at once or in incremental steps.

In order to notice what is happening and understand it, one needs to have an understanding and appreciation for what liberty really means and what has to be in place for liberty to sustain itself. This always means a heightened awareness by the people, jealous of their rights, with the determination to preserve their rights.

The people must, at the same time, understand the contrast between real liberty and what passes as freedom in the propaganda put forward to promote the accumulation of power into the hands of the few — all in the name of the people — and the nuances of such a program. After all, those who mean to rule don't broadcast their intent to the people. They couch their intentions in glittering generalities, making it sound as if they have the best intentions for the people. This works best if the general population is ignorant of their rights, and have never been taught what they are and why they are so important.

Many may recognize that they are losing their freedom but if they do not have an appreciation for what liberty really is, and the responsibilities that go with it, they will not be able to work toward a solution. You have to know what is right in order to rectify what is wrong. And, along with this comes the responsibility to do what is right.

No one wants to be told that there is a problem unless a

solution is offered to rectify it. If the person hearing about a problem does not hear about a solution for it at the same time, it leads to frustration. It leads to not only frustration, but demoralization as well. So, we will be offering remedies as well as exposing the danger of some environmental initiatives.

This is particularly true of entrepreneurs and businessmen, who are used to finding solutions to problems. It is part of their responsibility as businessmen. When they see a problem, they seek a solution at the same time. Whenever approaching a businessman with a problem, you have to make sure that you have a solution to offer as well.

No one wants to hear about a problem they cannot solve — they have enough problems already.

One thing that we wish to state right up front is that regulations and controls imposed by any government on land, property of any sort, etc., are not regulations and controls on these inanimate objects, but are instead controls on the people who own the property.

You can stand there all day and tell a plot of land what it can and cannot do, and the land will not be listening. You can tell an automobile that it can no longer emit carbon compounds into the air, and it will not do anything but just sit there, inanimate.

The regulations and controls are on the people who own the land or other personal property. They dictate what the people can or cannot do with their property.

Government can call the controls whatever they wish, but in reality the controls are always on the people.

For some reason, too many do not even think in these terms. Somehow they think the controls are on the land or other personal property. Strange.

Regulations and controls are always on the people involved.

The same is true of the taxes on such property. The taxes are not on the “property,” since the property doesn’t pay the taxes — you do.

At some point, taxes and regulations can evolve into draconian government imposed on the people, who can lose their freedom. At what point does that occur? Ten percent, twenty percent, fifty percent? We know that at some point the government, if not curtailed, will become totalitarian. That is why we have a Constitution that limits government in its powers — if adhered to.

Our Constitution was written to protect the rights of our citizens, giving only limited powers to the government, and no more.

Recall that one of the primary reasons our country’s Founders fought a War for Independence was too much taxation, especially since they had no vote in the matter.

Karl Marx, in *The Communist Manifesto*, called for 100% control over property by the state, and we are headed in that direction. Regardless of where you believe we fit on the scale of zero to one hundred percent control, that is the direction we are heading.

If you do not believe this, then muse over when taxes and regulations have lessened. Government authority always moves to have more regulations and more taxes unless checked by a successful mass movement to do otherwise.

It is the basic nature of government — even benevolent government — to accumulate more power. No exceptions.

As the British Lord Acton said, “Power tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”

We will be reminded of this again.

People today believe that they “own” their land. They do not own their land in the classical meaning of the term, however. Let us explain by asking a question: If you rent a property and do not pay your rent, what happens to you? You are evicted. What if you own your property and you do not pay your taxes, what happens to you? You are evicted. What is the difference?

*The Communist Manifesto* lists ten steps toward communizing a country, and the very first step is that there shall be no private property and that all rents will be used for public purposes.

For all practical purposes, this is not very far down the road from where we are now. With the taxing system that we have and the regulations on our property by local, state, and federal government, we are very limited as to what we can do with our property.

We have grown used to it gradually over the last 230 years. At one time, you were free to do whatever you wished with your property as long as it did not harm the rights of your neighbor. Freedom was coupled with moral responsibility.

You did not have to go to the government to petition them for permission to do whatever you wanted (after paying a fee, of course).

Now you have to get a permit to do just about everything. Big Brother is gradually becoming an adult.

Is the growth of government and government controls over us an accident? Is it the natural progression of civilization, from growth to ultimate collapse? Is history repeating itself? Or, is there something else involved?

We have a hint as to the problem in a quote purportedly attributed to former President Franklin Delano Roosevelt:

In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way.

This book is to inform you of a movement and of people that mean to regulate, control, and eventually own all land and property — in other words, own you. Oh, it is all done in the name of preserving the land and protecting the land for the future, but in reality, certain people have the aim of controlling every human being on this planet, all in the name of the environment.

These people have fooled many into promoting a movement that will ultimately produce a worldwide government controlling every person on Earth. This was and remains the goal of the Marxists as delineated in *The Communist Manifesto*.

It is interesting that while controls are being implemented in the name of the environment, the people will not have access to the environment unless the government allows it. Rather, the people will not have access unless those who control the government allow it. Everything will be regulated to the detriment of the people and their freedom.

Most people who listen to the siren song of the environmental movement have never figured out that the controls will be on them, not the environment. Interestingly, most of those grassroots people in the environmental movement are horrified by controls over their personal lives. We know this because their activists marching in the streets are constantly chanting “Freedom!” There is a contradiction in this, yet the contradiction seems lost on those who advocate it.

Fear is a factor in the promotion of radical change, increased regulations, and controls over the people. In the name of some problem that creates fear, the people stand

still for the changes the government has in mind. This can be war, the fear of losing a war, or a pandemic in which the government shuts down the country and imposes all manner of rules and regulations of public behavior, and even private behavior, in the name of saving the lives of the citizens.

What we see with the environmental movement is that it is instilling the fear of killing off everything on the Earth, thus wiping out human civilization, if we do not adhere to more controls over our industry, automobiles, homes, forests, etc. Fear. And, they have inoculated our youth with this fear in our education system.

There are children today who really believe that they only have ten to twelve years to live if they do not work to change the environment — they are that indoctrinated. As a result, they get involved in programs that will be far more of a problem down the road.

Using the words of those who lead the environmental movement, we will show where this is leading and what these people really want.

At times it will become rather bizarre and even close to unbelievable. Most of what we shall present is available on-line if you know what to look for, although increasingly the information is being censored by the gods of the Internet. Add to that the fact that you must be able to read between the lines in what environmentalists put forward for public consumption. And, always think everything through to its logical end.

And, think about what it will mean to your life, your property, your job, and your family.

## CHAPTER ONE

# WHO ARE THESE PEOPLE?

---

Who are the people who are behind the environmental movement?

The answer is varied, and does include some very concerned people who have as their motivation the saving of the environment. However, there are those who have some very ulterior motives to their involvement, particularly in the leadership.

As an example, let us look at a situation from this author's past.

Many years ago, in the 1960s, this author was very much involved in investigating what the local communist organizations and individuals were doing in our city to move our country away from the liberty established and protected by our Founders. They used all manner of causes and slogans with which to do so.

It was a very turbulent time, similar to the year 2020, with mass demonstrations, riots, burning down of businesses, violence, bombings, and domestic terrorism. If one did not live during that time, particularly in a major city, it is hard to believe that such conditions existed back then.

One of the communist organizations behind many of the problems was the Socialist Workers Party, the Trotsky-



ite branch of the international communist movement. The leader in our state of this subversive organization was Frank Krasnowski, and his son Marc was the leader of its youth arm, the Young Socialist Alliance (YSA). They were the main organizers of the students involved in the rioting, and they controlled the student body organization at the University of Washington.

Since most students were there to learn, not to get involved in organized political activity, the YSA was able to elect the student body leaders by default due to their ability to organize.

The Socialist Workers Party advocated revolution and violence to achieve their aims. They were not a debating society. (Over the years, this party has gone through a number of splits.)

Upon graduation from the university, Marc became a reporter on one of the major newspapers in Seattle. Within a couple of years he became the city editor, managing what their team of reporters wrote for the public, what news to read about, and the slant given the news. After moving around for several years in the newspaper business, he segued into the environmental movement, still retaining his socialist outlook.

He, along with a girl he worked with who was a militant socialist agitator, Stephanie Koontz, became environmental leaders in their local community and beyond.

We have no idea of the background of many of the leaders in America of the environmental movement, but through personal experience recognize that there is probably more to the goals of the leaders involved than simply saving the planet.

Why? Since the goal of the communists is to control the

people, they cannot leave any stone unturned, and therefore will use any cause to do so. As we pointed out in the introduction, control of land isn't control of land. It is control of the people who may use or not use the land.

As previously mentioned, *The Communist Manifesto* has much to say about property. Of the ten steps toward bringing about a communist government in a country, the very first calls for "abolition of property in land and application of all rents of land to public purposes." And step seven states, "... bringing into cultivation of waste-lands, and the improvement of the soil generally in accordance with a common plan."

While the above steps may have undergone changes and evolved into a slightly different nuance, it was obvious from the beginning that the communists had as part of their agenda the control of land by the government — complete control. Why? Not to improve the conditions of the land, but to be able to control the people on the land.

In order to implement their plan, wherever the communists have gained control around the world, they have moved people into massive apartment complexes, both in and outside of the cities, and have moved the rural population into communes, abolishing private farms in the process.

Generally speaking, this is also the plan of the environmentalists, which we shall prove as we go along.

One problem with dealing with communists is that they never live up to any agreement they have with non-communists. This extends from agreements with political opponents within a country to treaties between communist and non-communist countries. Sooner or later, once it is to their advantage to cease keeping their word, they violate the agreement. At the same time they will do all they can to

have the other party continue to keep its word, to the benefit of the communists. They can never be trusted — ever.

We bring this up since today too many agreements in the area of protecting the environment are made with communist countries, particularly China. We will demonstrate the problems with this as we go along.

Another problem with dealing with communists is that they have murdered tens of millions of their own people when they have taken over various countries. They continue doing it after they have consolidated control, with the gulag in the Soviet Union and the massive incarceration of minority sects in China. Millions continue to die in such conditions.

They also kill millions of people when they invade a country and take it over, eliminating their opposition as they consolidate control over the people.

So, if a communist state gets involved in an environmental program saying that they are doing it to save the Earth's population, one has to step back and think that they may not be truthful, considering their background and how they treat their own people.

The environmental movement is a dizzying array of organizations centered within the agencies of the United Nations, along with nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) that are affiliates of the United Nations, ministries and agencies of nearly all nations, and foundations around the world. All totaled, there are about two thousand NGOs in the environmental movement.

When one looks up the environmental organizations, it is difficult to put them all in one category or responsibility, as the web and crossties are very extensive. However, all the organizations have the same stated objective, overall or in

part, which is to save the environment or some portion of it.

The number of environmentalists who work full time within and outside the governments is hard to determine, but is in the tens of thousands in the United States alone. This does not count the volunteers within organizations whose mission, or at least part of it, is to support the environmental movement. Nor does it count the school teachers who teach environmentalism to their students.

The number is even higher when you consider that the government schools in all of the countries promote the environmental agenda in their classrooms. The level of belief in the agenda in the minds of the children rivals that of a religion.

In fact, back in 2002, there was a movement to make Mother Earth a religion among American school children. However, exposure of this plan slowed this initiative down. Nonetheless, the environmental movement has a number of ties to pagan religion and the New Age movement, the Gaia movement, East Indian pagan practices, etc., all of which are involved in environmentalism from a religious standpoint.

In other words, environmentalists worship the Earth rather than God. They worship the creation rather than the Creator. More on the significance of this *vis-à-vis* our liberty further on.

One can go online and research these facts. They are indisputable, even if you are not convinced of it now.

At one point, the idea was to introduce the Ark of Hope into the schools of America. This initiative presents a more benign image today than what it had in the beginning.

The idea was to replace the meaning of the Ark of the Covenant between God and the people of Israel.

The original Ark contained the Ten Commandments and

laid down the general philosophy of the people and the manner in which they were to live with each other and their God. The meaning of the newly invented Ark of Hope was to lay down the manner in which people were to deal with each other and the Earth, all as it related to protecting the Earth.

It clearly represented replacing the Judeo-Christian religion with paganism. However, because this initiative was exposed, it was played down and its end disguised as a love of the environment rather than a pagan religion.

The amount of money that pours into the private organizations and the government agencies behind the environmental movement is astronomical.

The movement inundates millions of people with subtle and not-so-subtle so-called facts and feelings for the Earth in opposition to the Judeo-Christian heritage of our country. In many quarters, the environmental movement is a direct attack on Judaism and Christianity.

Researching all of this online demonstrates that organized paganism always supports the environmental movement. You can spend hours doing this, but in the long run will learn that the information is generally useless (except to satisfy your own curiosity). Unfortunately, if you try to convince the average American about what you find, you will appear a little “off” and most will not believe you. It is too bizarre to be accepted.

You will find, however, that we are dealing with some very strange people who want to use the environmental movement to counter Judeo-Christian influence in America.

Why is this important to us? Our country was founded on a Judeo-Christian foundation of society and law. Depart from this base and you destroy the very foundations of Americanism.

First, the Declaration of Independence proclaims that we

derive our rights from God: “All men ... are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights....” The Constitution and Bill of Rights are also based on the framework of Judeo-Christian beliefs. Therefore, the environmental movement that we are describing represents a danger to the form of government that protects our liberty, and would move us backward toward those types of government that rule other countries, particularly on the South Asian subcontinent and surrounding areas.

One of the largest of the international organizations that is not actually part of the United Nations but has the environment as its primary mission is Green Cross International. It was started by the last communist dictator of the USSR, Mikhail Gorbachev. The honorary chair is an American, Diane Meyer Simon.

Gorbachev started Green Cross as a result of the initiatives that came out of the Rio Earth Conference of 1992. The official report and program of this conference was entitled *Agenda 21*, and this is the origin of the title of the entire program that we are concerned with in this book.

We will go into more detail about this Rio Earth Conference further on, but the purpose of this chapter is to identify those who play major roles in the entire environmental movement.

Keep in mind that Gorbachev was the head of the Communist Party in the USSR, and when the so-called collapse of communism occurred there, he came out of it with the reputation of a reformer and an all-around good guy.

One did not become the head of the Communist Party in the Soviet Union by being Mr. Nice Guy, as the mass media would have us believe, no matter how well one smiles. The

Communist Party was a cut-throat operation when it came to rising to the top. One did not rise to the top without physically eliminating some competitors.

When Gorbachev took over the USSR as the supreme leader of both the USSR and the Communist Party, he stated in his inauguration speech, “I would like again to reaffirm the tremendous importance of the United Nations.”

The Green Cross is an extension of the Gorbachev Foundation, headquartered in Moscow. It also has an office in San Francisco, California, at what once was a fort protecting that city, the Presidio, and which had served as the headquarters of the 6th U.S. Army.

The facilities given to Gorbachev — the Coast Guard commandant’s office — were handed over to him by the last commanding general of the fort, Lt. Gen. Glynn C. Mallory, Jr. Gorbachev was the first to be allowed to take up residence at the fort as it was being decommissioned as part of cutbacks by the military of hundreds of facilities, bases, and forts around the country.

The reason stated as to why he was the first was that the government wanted an international theme at the Presidio and Gorbachev could attract international organizations to the site. No one seemed to ask the question as to whether these international organizations would be socialist-communist.

The Presidio was transformed from a facility protecting America into one that serves globalism.

As Gorbachev expressed it at the time, “This is the symbol of our irreversible transition from an era of confrontation and militaristic insanity to a new world order...”

It is interesting that he used the term “new world order,” a phrase used by many people to denote the end goal of a

one-world government. This phrase was used by the communists for many years, only becoming more publicly used in the 20th century.

In February 1845, three years before *The Communist Manifesto* was published, Karl Marx and Frederick Engels wrote in their book *The Holy Family*:

The revolutionary movement which began in 1789 in the Cercle Social ... gave rise to the communist idea ... re-introduced in France after the Revolution of 1830.... This ... is the idea of the new world order.

As mentioned above, the communist idea of the New World Order was promoted by the “last” communist leader of the USSR. Gorbachev often uses this phrase.

Keep in mind that although the so-called collapse of communism in Russia happened under Gorbachev, he did not become the leader of the “new” Russia. He was the head of the old communist Russia, and was a communist with nothing to do when he started his Gorbachev Foundation and then the Green Cross.

In handing over the Presidio office to Gorbachev, Gen. Mallory said, “Please accept this key as a gesture of our goodwill....”

The last audience that Gorbachev gave before resigning as the leader of the Soviet Union was to Jim Garrison, Jr. In the *SF Weekly*, Garrison is described as “a man brimming with plans for a New World Order.” Ultimately, Garrison became the USA president of the Gorbachev Foundation, stating on more than one occasion that the foundation’s mis-



sion would be accomplished through the United Nations.

One of the main initiatives of the foundation has been the promotion of the Earth Charter, an environmental enterprise, drawing both major corporations and media moguls into the program. It includes American organizations as well as Chinese and Russian groups.

We could go on with listing those who provide leadership to the environmental movement, but this would take the rest of this book. We believe that you have learned from this short look that there are those who have suspicious motivations for their leadership in the movement. There will be more as we proceed.

## CHAPTER TWO

# THE BASICS OF AMERICANISM

---

Before proceeding further, it is wise that we get our bearings relative to the ideals that the United States was founded on and how they contrast with many of the goals of the environmental movement.

The American system of government is different from any other, and has since its inception been an example to the rest of the world for building and maintaining liberty.

This is sometimes referred to as American exceptionalism.

One of the primary aspects of the foundation of Americanism is under direct attack by the environmental movement, and many Americans support this attack without realizing the harm that it can cause.

One has to think about the fact that organizations controlled in totalitarian countries are involved. How can they be motivated by altruism and the desire for maintaining liberty as they move the environmental program forward? This is especially true of the organizations controlled by the Chinese Communist Party.

Our Founders recognized the right to property as a primary right of every individual, and they believed that government should be formed to protect that right, not abrogate it, or take property away from one to give to another:

The moment the idea is admitted into society that property is not as sacred as the laws of God ... anarchy and tyranny commence.

— President John Adams, *Defence of the Constitutions of Government of the United States*

Government is instituted to protect property of every sort.... This being the end of government.... That is not a just government, nor is property secure under it, where the property which a man has ... is violated by arbitrary seizures of one class of citizens for the service of the rest.

— President James Madison, in his essay *Property*

The Bill of Rights protects property, both in the security of it and the ownership of it:

Amendment IV: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated....

Amendment V: No person shall be ... deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

This foundation of private property rights secured by our form of government is in sharp contrast to the covenants and treaties of the United Nations, as we shall demonstrate.

Since the entire environmental program is aimed at being implemented through UN entities, it is important to understand the difference between the UN outlook on the rights of man vs. the Americanist/United States outlook.

Control is more important than simple ownership. You may say that you own your property, but if the control over its use is limited by private contract or public regulation, you do not have true ownership. You may even be deprived of using it for the purpose you bought it for in the first place.

A modern example of this is the various environmental laws being instituted across the land, especially in the western United States. It is not unusual for ranchers to be barred from allowing their cattle to drink from a stream that crosses the land used for this purpose for almost two hundred years (for some owners). The reason? The federal Environmental Protection Agency has deemed that the cattle may pollute the water, and therefore they must be prevented by a fence from getting anywhere near the water.

Keep in mind that once a law or executive order is made establishing a federal agency, the agency makes up the rules to implement the law or edict. At this point, the people's representatives, Congress, have little or nothing to say about it. The implementational rules are no longer involved in legislation, and the agencies begin the process of mandatory regulations. These are not laws but simple edicts, yet they are enforced as if they are law.

In other words, the people have lost their right to be represented in the process.

As an example of how regulations can pile up without Congress playing any role, this author visited the office of a U.S. congressman in which he had accumulated over eight

feet of regulations for Obamacare within a couple of years of that law being passed. He finally dedicated a closet to hold all of the paper, since it became a danger for people to get near it and have it topple over on them.

The original law was a foot high stack of paper.

(By the way, the stack of regulations did not stop at eight feet.)

The environmental regulations established by the United Nations go so far as to strip the landowner of all property rights. Indeed, there are proposals by the UN for eliminating suburbs and moving all people into inner-city apartment dwellings in the name of preserving the land. We will get into some of these specifics later on as we explore the Agenda 21 program specifically.

Of course, the purpose of consolidating the people into apartments isn't simply to control the land. The government can control the people better if they all live in apartments rather than scattered over the land. They will no longer need cars, since their work will be near where they live. They will use public transportation, and this will limit the ability of people to simply come and go as they please. And so on. All in the name of saving the planet.

In many countries in Europe this is already the case. In some major cities the majority of the people live in apartments, do not own cars, and use only public transportation.

One may certainly doubt that the plan is to eliminate the suburbs and move all people into city apartments, similar to the Chinese communist program. However, this was part of a plan instituted by President Obama in 2009 that went largely unnoticed. According to the *Wall Street Journal*, it was a "regulation that federalized local zoning and land-use

policies.” It gave tremendous control to the federal government relative to all developments, with an emphasis on building apartment complexes. This regulation was rolled back by the Trump administration, but since Biden has now replaced Trump the federal housing bureaucrats will reinstitute Obama’s original regulation. Keep in mind, it was a regulation, an edict — not a law passed by Congress.

Not only that, it was a federal edict, not a law, that superseded state and local authority — completely unconstitutional yet allowed to stand by Congress at the time. Congress allowed it to stand by doing nothing.

Let us reiterate a point that should be obvious but is seldom thought of: The controls over property, land, production, etc., are not over these inanimate objects, but over the people involved. It is the people on the property, and their use or non-use of land, manufacturing, etc., on whom the controls are imposed. The objects supposedly controlled are not alive and remain inanimate without people. The controls are over the people, with the government telling people what they may or may not do. That kind of power is a very corrupting influence.

It also debilitates the economy, since invention and personal incentive to do better are eliminated. Why bother if you will be further controlled or taxed? In a system of socialism whereby all are regulated to the same level of “equality,” no incentive exists to do better than the next person — unless it serves the state and is rewarded by the state.

Another aspect of government control is this: If government makes a mistake, it affects all of the people. If the government becomes corrupt, it affects all of the people. And, it will become corrupt. Remember, as Lord Acton said, “Pow-

er tends to corrupt and absolute power corrupts absolutely.”  
No exceptions.

So we see that the basis for American government was the limitation of government to what it was granted the power to do by the people. Also, the government was prohibited from infringing on the God-given rights proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence and affirmed and protected in the Constitution and Bill of Rights.

In the next chapter we will explore the difference between Americanism and what the globalists believe relative to people's rights.

## CHAPTER THREE

# AMERICANISM VS. GLOBALISM

---

In a free society, mistakes made by individuals or companies are usually limited in scope, usually only affecting those closest to the decision. Not like those of government, which affect everyone.

This is what makes government controls over private property so dangerous. It is bad enough at the local level, but very onerous at the federal level.

Now think of what it would be on a global scale, controlled by a government that supersedes the United States government, like the United Nations.

There is a saying that you can't fight city hall. Think of how much more difficult it is at the state and federal levels; it is probably impossible at the international level, if "city hall" is the UN.

What if the United Nations is not what it seems? What if their system of government and rights is quite different from the United States Constitution and Bill of Rights?

We invite all to read the Charter of the United Nations, comparing it to the Constitution of the United States. It is readily available online.

In order to get our bearings for the differences between the UN's philosophy and the philosophy of American lib-



erty, and how this affects the implementation of the United Nations' Agenda 21/2030, we will compare our Bill of Rights to the United Nations International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Both supposedly have the same basis for protecting the rights of the people, but there are striking differences.

The Bill of Rights states:

Amendment I: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

Congress shall make no law — period. This also implies that government cannot limit these rights in case of “emergency.” (Once that process starts, it is a slippery slope toward tyranny.)

The UN International Covenant, Article 18, states:

Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.

However, it goes on to state:

Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law.

This is very different from the idea that government cannot pass a law, as stated in the Bill of Rights. According to the

UN, you have the right to freedom of religion as long as some government or the UN doesn't pass a law against it.

Again, in Article 19 of the UN Covenant:

Everyone shall have the right to hold opinions without interference.

But then it states:

The exercise of the rights provided for in...this article carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restrictions, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary.

Again, very different from the spirit of the Bill of Rights, which states that government cannot regulate opinions and speech.

The UN goes on to limit the freedom of assembly and association with others — as prescribed by law — if necessary. The slippery slope is built right into the UN's statement of rights.

What we see here is that the United Nations' idea of freedom is the exact opposite of freedom as expressed in the Bill of Rights.

Americans do not realize just how different our form of government is compared to the rest of the world.

The people of the rest of the world had no problem ratifying the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, since in many cases it was better than what they had (or conformed to what they had).

If you think that this covenant had a hard time making it through the Senate of the United States Congress, you would be mistaken. It sailed through on April 2, 1992, and had only one dissenting voice, in the person of Senator Jesse Helms of South Carolina, who said that every senator had taken an oath to the Constitution and the covenant was in violation of that oath. Of course, the Bill of Rights is part of the Constitution.

The Senate rejected his argument and ratified the covenant in an unrecorded vote. The “Deep State” was already in control.

What we are witnessing in regard to the promotion of the United Nations is the attempt by totalitarians to control the UN, and then in turn use it to control the world.

A primary piece of evidence in this regard is the fact that the Communist Chinese occupy more chairs of the agencies of the UN than any other country. This is in addition to the other agencies headed by socialists and communists from other countries.

The thing to remember going forward is that the provisions of Agenda 21/2030 will be implemented under the philosophy of the UN, not the philosophy of the U.S. Founders as expressed in the Constitution and Bill of Rights.

We should mention that the socialist-communist movement has two primary goals: The establishment of a one-world government to be run by them, and the elimination of God from all public life. They will adopt many issues on their way toward the accumulation of power, but they will always keep these two goals in mind, without wavering from them, even if they hide them initially from the people.

This places the Declaration of Independence in the way

of the success of the world communist movement. Why? Because it declares the existence of God and that our rights come from Him, and it states that we are an independent nation, not a part of the rest of the world. These facts are the prime reason that the Declaration is no longer taught in public education to the level of understanding that it once was; it is a huge impediment to the advancement of socialism-communism.

Finally, let us reiterate the fact that while the American system is designed to limit the power of government over the people and property, thus maintaining freedom, the United Nations is designed to grant power to a central world government with no checks and balances.



## CHAPTER FOUR

# AGENDA 21

---

Agenda 21, which evolved into Agenda 2030, came about as a result of the 1992 Earth Summit conference in Rio de Janeiro, formally known as the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development. This was the first major step toward implementing something called the Brundtland Commission, chaired by Gro Harlem Brundtland, the vice president of the World Socialist Party.

This commission promoted something called “sustainable development,” popularized in the 1987 United Nations report *Our Common Future*.

Sustainable development is defined as “...development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.” Sounds good in theory, but it is not the practice, not the way it is being implemented.

Ms. Brundtland was also the former director-general of the World Health Organization, demonstrating the continuity of the socialist-communist-terrorist leadership of WHO over the years, culminating in the current leadership of Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, who served in the leadership of the Tigray People’s Liberation Front, an ethno-Marxist terror group in Ethiopia that followed Maoist direction.

Dr. Tedros, with the enthusiastic endorsement of China, followed Margaret Chan, a Chinese communist, as the head of WHO.

This is just another example of the socialist-communist leadership within the United Nations and those who supply the leadership of UN initiatives. You might keep this in mind when it comes to the edicts and pronouncements of WHO relative to disease and pandemics. WHO never saw a shutdown they didn't like — another example of control of the people that we shall demonstrate further on.

The 1992 Earth Summit produced an official 1,100-page report titled *Agenda 21*, which spelled out the program of the UN for global control over every aspect of a person's life: private property, individual rights, and civil liberties, all in the name of the environment and so-called social justice.

The attendees included 35,000 journalists and environmental activists, and 179 world leaders, including President George H. W. Bush. The world leaders — including Bush — agreed with the results and signed on to implement this agenda. It was not a treaty or even an official agreement, yet all nations — including the United States — began to implement it as if it were law, without the input of any of the people or legislative branches.

President Bush had a long history of being a globalist, from his days at Yale, where he was inducted into the secret society Skull and Bones, to the time he served on the board of the globalist Council on Foreign Relations. He stopped his membership in the CFR before beginning his 1980 campaign for the presidential nomination against Ronald Reagan, but continued his support for its New World Order, stating so on many occasions.

One only has to search the Internet for the times he expressed his intention to produce a New World Order by any means possible, including war. For instance, he stated that the intention of going into Iraq was to produce a New World Order and a United Nations functioning as intended by its founders.

The UN founders' intent was a one-world government, with all countries and peoples subordinate to it. Alger Hiss, who served as the first secretary-general of the UN, was later exposed as having been a Soviet agent while working in the U.S. State Department.\*

Bush's official foreign policy was defined in the booklet produced by the U.S. State Department, *National Security Strategy of the United States*, with a foreword titled "A New World Order" and signed by Bush.

The strategy laid out in the comprehensive UN plan *Agenda 21* calls for local governments to submit to rules and regulations addressing various environmental matters. The massive tome urges transferring virtually all aspects of freedom and legal independence to the world body in the name of protecting the environment. One version of *Agenda 21* that came out following the Rio summit was published under the title *Agenda 21: The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet* (Earthpress, 1993). The book's editor, environmentalist-activist attorney Daniel Sitarz, provided this candid and approving appraisal of the plan's totalitarian ambition:

AGENDA 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person

\* See *In the Shadows of the Deep State*, available at ShopJBS.org.



on Earth.... [It] will require a profound reorientation of all humans, unlike anything the world has ever experienced....

There are specific actions which are intended to be undertaken by ... every person on Earth.

The following are only a few of the provisions that will affect you directly:

- Eliminating your right to private ownership (property rights)
- Forbidding you from entering woodland and wetland areas
- Restricting the number of children you may have
- Restricting the amount of trash or waste you can dispose of
- Restricting the amount of water you may use
- Limiting how much you may harvest on your land
- Forcing you to participate in community projects
- Diminishing your individual rights in favor of community rights

This last point places the community above the individual, and in essence establishes socialism. Your wants will be subordinated to the desires of the community. Likewise, your local community's wants will be subordinated to the desires of the larger community, and so on until it reaches the greater good of the global community. After all, how can you "save the planet" unless the entire world comes under the control of the United Nations environmental program?

How can you set up such a program unless the entire structure of people and governments around the world are subordinated to a one-world government? Soon, the desire of the people to stop war and protect the environment through a world government takes on the look of a dictatorship.

Power tends to corrupt.

So in the desire to “do the right thing” in regard to not misusing the land, if control of property is taken away from the individual, we will have lost one of the most fundamental rights on which our country was founded in the first place. And, it can be done simply because the people are ignorant of what property rights really mean and how losing them can mean the loss of freedom in other ways at the same time.

Let’s keep something in mind. The United States is different. No other system of liberty exists quite like America. No totalitarian scheme can allow the U.S. to survive, strong and free. For the totalitarians to succeed, the U.S. must be conquered. Since conquering the U.S. physically is highly unlikely, probably impossible, then other means must be taken. These means need to be subversive, wherein the American people supply and apply their own chains. This is the primary purpose of the environmental movement (though by no means the only method).

Even though *Agenda 21* was not a treaty or official document passed by the Congress, President Clinton nonetheless started to implement its provisions. In a WorldNetDaily article posted on February 2, 2013, Henry Lamb explained:

Nothing in Agenda 21 is legally binding on any government until a government — at any level — adopts an Agenda 21 recommendation as a law or ordinance, or as an executive order such as EO 12852 (creating the President’s Council on Sustainable Development) issued by President Bill Clinton in 1993 in response to Agenda 21 recommendation 8.7.

Therefore, its partisans work with various levels of government to implement Agenda 21 through presidential executive orders, federal and state environmental regulations, state “comprehensive planning” laws and regulations, and a stealth program that lures many local and county supervisory boards and planning commissions into incorporating Agenda 21 recommendations without knowing that they originated with the United Nations or Agenda 21. The end result: a UN presence that will lead to UN domination.

Along the road other agreements have been entered into to enhance the goals of Agenda 21, such as the Paris climate accord signed by President Barack Obama. These agreements are supposedly nonbinding, but it seems that the U.S. lives up to them while others who give lip service to them actually ignore them. They all are aimed at destroying the American economy, military, and industrial might.

Once President Trump was elected in 2016, he started the process of withdrawing the United States from the Paris climate accord, citing the reasons stated above; however, President Biden once again put us back in.

After the United Nations Sustainable Development Summit in September 2015, the title of their program was changed from Agenda 21 to Agenda 2030, to indicate the year when they wanted to have their program implemented. If you go to Agenda 2030 online, it will take you to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, a dizzying array of plans the United Nations wishes to implement. It is 64 pages long.

To give you an idea of what the supporters of this program wish to accomplish, we quote from the newspaper *The Epoch Times*, July 19, 2020. They exposed what the Australian-based Carbon Sense Coalition wants to impose on

Australia as new laws to enforce sustainable development. They are quite bizarre, and we will only quote a few:

Ban open fires and potbellied stoves, incandescent light bulbs, bottled water, private cars from some areas, plasma TVs, new airports, electric hot water systems, vacationing by car, three day weekends. Tax babies, big cars, rubbish, second homes, second cars, holiday plane flights, eco-tax cars entering cities, require permits to drive your car beyond your city limits, and remove white lines on roads to make motorists drive more carefully.

These people are crazy, but very serious.

And, they have already banned private ownership of firearms in Australia.

Note that there are several things which tend to lead environmentalists to limit the use of automobiles. The one thing that environmentalists detest is the ability of people to come and go as they please, and control of the freedom of the individual is at the bottom of this idea. It is not the elimination of carbon emissions, as they profess.

Just as corrupt politicians seem to be exempt from their own edicts, environmentalists travel all over the world in private jets and other fossil fuel-burning transportation, holding lush banquets in order to meet and figure out how to control the rest of the world.

A government that can control the activities and movement of its citizens has tremendous control over the freedom of its citizens. And, as is normal among totalitarian states, the elite will not be bound by the restrictions that the aver-

age citizens will be.

We see the latter in the edicts imposed by governors in the United States during the China virus pandemic. The rules were to be followed by the people, yet those in charge were exempt, visiting hair salons, playing tennis, etc., while the “rabble” could not do these things without being arrested. It is not just totalitarian countries overseas where we see one standard for the people and another for the leaders.

The next chapter will show how the United Nations is implementing much of its program through local governments without the people being aware of what is going on.

## CHAPTER FIVE

# LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION HIDING GLOBALISM

---

Agenda 2030's movement toward its intended goal is being accomplished by local governments that have become members of the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI), a nongovernmental organization (NGO) formally associated with the United Nations.

Its original name says it all: an international council that is aimed at local implementation of environmental regulations. It is especially revealing that ICLEI now prefers to be known as "ICLEI — Local Governments for Sustainability." Once unsuspecting local officials sign on to regulations, frequently carrying the appealing name "sustainability," the UN's foot is in the door. But rarely has anyone at the local level known that the UN is behind what they have accepted — until local activists who have become aware point it out to them.

ICLEI enlists local governments, city councils, county commissioners, etc., to voluntarily adopt UN initiatives and mandates, almost always without the people or their local officials having any awareness that they are ceding power to the UN. It generally is the staff of the local government rather than the elected officials who are involved, convincing

their “boss” of the need for new regulations recommended by ICLEI. The new relationship is frequently entered into by local government officials who rarely, if ever, fully understand what they are getting involved with. In fact, many mayors and commissioners have been surprised to learn that their local governmental body had agreed to ties to the UN through ICLEI.

However, *literally hundreds of American communities belong to ICLEI.*

In many towns, cities, and counties across America (and elsewhere throughout the world), environmental rules are being implemented from the top down through international agreements. At the same time, newly adopted programs receive support from the bottom up from members of activist groups that support the UN and/or accept the widely circulated environmental scares, and therefore go along with the programs believing they are doing what is best for the future. Rarely do any of the local officials know that the UN is the behind-the-scenes sponsor of these programs.

The tactic has been referred to as pressure from above and pressure from below: It is a pincers movement aimed at the American people, their businesses, their property rights, and even their nation. Pressure down from the upper echelons of government, and pressure upwards by community action organizations, with the average citizen caught between not understanding what is going on.

Again, the pressure from below comes from either local community action organizations and service groups that have been fooled into supporting sustainable development as a good thing, or local Marxist-influenced groups that support everything UN.

As to whether the Marxists in America support UN initiatives, the Constitution of the Communist Party USA has a provision that supports the United Nations and promotes strengthening the UN. Much of the grassroots pressure for UN initiatives from community action groups is the result of Marxist influence within them.

Much of the pressure from above, the top down, comes from organizations controlled by members of the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), and the CFR website and publications are rife with support for the initiatives of Agenda 21/2030.

The CFR was founded just after the First World War to promote the idea of the United States becoming part of a one-world government, or as they call it, the New World Order. While it has a relatively small membership, of about 5,000, it is the prestige and influence of the membership that gives the CFR its influence. For example, back in the late 1930s and early 1940s, numerous CFR members were heavily involved in the formation of the United Nations.

CFR members have been at the confluence of all major foreign policy initiatives, and have extended their influence throughout academia, government, foundations, etc. They constitute what is known as the Deep State, yet most people are not aware of the organization or its extensive influence, or are reluctant to “bang heads” with it. More than one honest politician has come up against their power, to the detriment of his public career.

One of the things that is anathema to members of the CFR is the idea of “America First.”

Not all members of the CFR are in on the game of turning American sovereignty over to the United Nations, but it



is a rare member that is not. Over the years many have been invited to join in an attempt to win them over to the globalist scheme. Some of those succumbed, but some quit once they realized what the endgame was. A few of these individuals have publicly denounced the CFR and its goals.\*

Many Americans have recently been awakened to the danger from ICLEI and gotten involved in eliminating ICLEI from their local government. Local government is closest to the people and customarily wins their respect, but not if an international body moves in to impose its international decrees. Implementation of Agenda 21/2030 mandates through ICLEI or some other UN front group disconnects the people from their own local government.

Once the movement in opposition to Agenda 21 grew, ICLEI started to change certain aspects of their website and publications to confuse the public as to their origins and ties to the UN. Formerly, you could access ICLEI on the Internet and, in two easily accomplished steps, learn its UN connection. Two clicks on the ICLEI site would take anyone to Agenda 21, and then to the UN. There was no question about this revealing relationship. However, information about the ICLEI-UN connection was disseminated by John Birch Society members to a great extent, and this caused such a stir that the ICLEI website was remarkably altered so that the direct link to the UN disappeared. Thus, materials urging everyone to see for themselves the ICLEI relationship to Agenda 21 and the UN became obsolete. This was

\* For a comprehensive look at the Council on Foreign Relations see *In the Shadows of the Deep State: A Century of Council on Foreign Relations Scheming for World Government*, by Arthur R. Thompson, and/or *Deep State: The Invisible Government Behind the Scenes*, by Alex Newman, available at ShopJBS.org.

only the first step to obfuscate on their website what was going on with this initiative.

However, the ICLEI-UN connection can still be found through diligent searching of the two websites. ICLEI now denies on its website that it is any part of the UN, but if you access its partners you can see they include the World Bank, the World Economic Forum, and several agencies of the UN. Under “NEWS” on their website, the first sentence reads: “Want to find out what ICLEI is doing worldwide?” This indicates an international agenda, not a local one.

The UN connection to ICLEI is also demonstrable from the ICLEI World Congress in 2018 in Montreal, where they outlined their entire agenda — all of it is tied to the UN.

The removal of easily shown proof of the ICLEI-UN relationship leads to two conclusions: (1) Opposition to this scheme has been effective, necessitating the changes; and (2) reliance on secrecy to implement it indicates treachery, because government at any level should always be an “open book.”

Even after withdrawing from ICLEI, many communities were still listed on the ICLEI site as being members. By not taking their names off the list, ICLEI hid just how many local governing agencies canceled membership or were no longer paying dues. In addition, there were fewer communities signing on. At one point the ratio of those leaving to those joining was two-to-one. This is the result of citizen activism informing local opinion molders and voters.

This became such a problem for ICLEI that they ceased listing on their website which United States communities were members. This was obviously done in an attempt to shut down citizen activism against them in communities holding ICLEI membership. So much for transparency!



## CHAPTER SIX

# CONTROL IS THE NAME OF THE GAME

---

While we have given some examples of the regulations that would control the people in the name of saving the planet, we will demonstrate even more in this regard, since the case must be made to show once and for all that what is being planned is the complete control of all human life and, as a result, of all human beings by the few at the top.

More than bringing local areas into compliance with environmental demands, Agenda 21/2030 is a plan to bring all local government and all property under UN control, without the citizens of any area realizing what is going on until it is too late.

Just think about what you would have believed if in 2019 someone told you that what would happen in 2020 would be a worldwide pandemic that would lock down the United States, violating the Bill of Rights, censoring any opinion that was against the lockdowns, and hurting the economy to the point where many businesses would never recover.

You wouldn't have believed them.

Yet it is a provable fact that it was all planned from the beginning, *which we will document in a later chapter.*

For now, let us stick to the direct environmental agenda.

In some areas of the United States, regulations governing the property rights of local citizens are beginning to stifle economic development, without the citizens knowing the source of the problem.

On a national scale, the Paris climate accord does the same thing, only it is enforced through the federal government. This accord was adopted by consensus at a UN climate change meeting on December 12, 2016. The accord, which is an agreement within the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, was opened for signature at a ceremony in New York on April 22, 2016. It purports to be able to limit greenhouse gases to preserve the planet by making sure that the average temperature does not rise more than 2° Celsius. The science regarding the plan is flawed, but one would never know this by the media or education system. It is a false “crisis” designed to win support for UN control over the industry of the world and the ability of the individual to travel independently by anything other than public transportation.

Think about it, the future of the United States is decided by a gathering of the nations’ leaders, not the people of America through their Congress. It is tantamount to an international edict.

The accord was signed by President Barack Obama; however, the provisions are so onerous that President Trump announced on August 4, 2017 the intention of the U.S. to withdraw from the Paris accord, which he did do. It would have been nice had President Trump pointed out the extra-legal aspects of it, so people would understand the illegitimacy of it in addition to its onerous provisions. Biden returned us to it.

The Paris climate accord imposes restrictions on industry, farming, and automobiles that are to be implemented in America before being implemented in China, according to the agreement. Yet pollution in China was and is more serious than in the United States. The U.S. has steadily reduced carbon emissions over the last few decades, China has not.

The requirement is to lower emissions by over 25 percent of 2005 levels by 2025. Contemplate what that would do to American industry and jobs. It would mean the curtailment of production if the standards were not met, plus the curtailment of the use of automobiles, limiting the freedom of movement, always the aim of totalitarian governments. In other words, if the industry or automobile could not comply with the new UN regulations, it would be shut down.

The United States would have had to start complying immediately with the new regulations, whereas China was not required to meet the standards until 2030. This would have given China the edge in industrial capability, making it the largest manufacturing power on Earth, at which time it could simply tell the rest of the world where to get off.

In other words, the requirements and the regulations vis-à-vis the United States versus other countries' compliance was a direct attack on the United States' industrial might, to the advantage of other nations, particularly China.

The Council on Foreign Relations was heavily involved in opposing the United States withdrawal from the Paris Agreement, and this can easily be verified by accessing the CFR website and looking for their posted articles on the subject.

One article that was posted by *Foreign Policy* magazine after Trump made his announcement made it appear that the sky was falling. (*Foreign Policy's* editor-in-chief is Jona-

than Tepperman, a member of the CFR). The article was entitled, "Why Abandoning Paris Is a Disaster for America: The Obama administration's brain trust on how Trump's rejection of the global climate change agreement is a monumental blunder."

The article only omitted getting tooth decay if we withdrew. Just about everything imaginable was listed as a problem if we did not go along with the accord, such as problems with our military, pollution, national security, and on and on. They had nineteen individuals from a wide range of think tanks, foundations, and government agencies write the article. Almost all of them were members of the CFR or connected with organizations run by CFR members.

The CFR is only one of the many organizations promoting a New World Order, and they are linked to other organizations abroad that have the same goal through the CFR's international Council of Councils, which includes Russia and China. Just as they have an agenda to achieve their goal, they also have a timetable. This has been a moving date, since they have run into several roadblocks along the way due to exposure of their aims by concerned citizens working in concert.

For instance, their total agenda must move forward simultaneously or it will fall apart. In other words, if some key aspects of their agenda fail to move forward, the whole plan has to be revised or the entire program will fail.

As a result of citizen involvement and organization supplied by The John Birch Society, several key dates set for the achievement of critical goals have come and gone — those pushing for a New World Order have failed to move their agenda forward due to the lack of public support. That

public support failed because information was disseminated telling people what was really going on and who was behind the initiatives.

The dates for reaching a point of no return in America for the globalists ranged from 1976 to 2015. These deadlines were not meant to fully establish the New World Order, but to create the condition where it would be impossible for America to extricate itself from the inevitable end of world government — enough of a loss of independence to where America could no longer say that it was a free and independent country.

In other words, due to successful implementation of key agendas of the Conspiracy in the United States, there would be no reversing the course of establishing their New World Order, even though a great deal of consolidation would still be necessary to establish complete authoritarian control.

The story of how the deadlines were missed is far too extensive to include herein, other than to say that citizens organized to educate people in key areas of American society, and local opinion molders created enough pushback against the Conspiracy's agenda to keep the deadlines from being met.\*

An important new date has been set for America's loss of independence, although it is by no means the only one, since

\* Two main aspects of the agenda are support for the United Nations and consolidation of all local police into a national police system. Due to the campaigns of The John Birch Society in regard to these two subjects, American citizen support for the UN waned and a better understanding of the need to maintain local control over our local police remained high in the 1970s, thus preventing the original deadline of 1976 from being met. *Pravda*, the communist newspaper in Moscow, blamed the JBS for the waning of support for the UN among the American public. For a better understanding of these two issues, go to JBS.org.



there are other dates connected to a variety of proposed trade pacts. However, this new date is perhaps the most fatal date at this time unless stopped. It is 2030, the date for the implementation of Agenda 2030, when our economy would come under total UN control in the name of the environment.

Since we have mentioned trade pacts, we should say that the trade pacts place our economy under the jurisdiction of the United Nations. You may go to any of the trade pacts we have made, in fact any international treaty, and read them to discover this fact. Some of the agreements are thousands of pages long, but most have the subordination to the jurisdiction of the UN in the first one to three articles.

In addition, they all have provisions in them that include adherence to the sustainable development agenda.

In the case of the USMCA, the United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement, it not only places us under the jurisdiction of the UN, but subordinates us to certain treaties we never ratified because they were too onerous, such as the Law of the Sea Treaty (LOST), which gives to the UN jurisdiction over our coasts and inland waterways, as well as over the oceans.

Let us repeat, all international agreements are under the jurisdiction of the United Nations. You may verify this by accessing any agreement and reading it online for yourself.

Now the CFR is involved in implementing UN controls over the environment, the air we breathe, and the water we drink, including UN controls over industry, transportation, farming, and ranching — even controls over where we will live, since man is supposedly so destructive of the land. There are plans in Agenda 2030 to move people into apartment cities — no more suburbia.

President Trump did a great deal to try and overcome these draconian laws, regulations, and edicts, but the so-called Swamp is too entrenched in Washington to completely eliminate the dangers from the environmental program. And, as we have seen, it is being implemented at the local governmental levels through such organizations as ICLEI.

Slowly but surely, new international agreements have placed even more controls over American society. Some of these have been overt, through a maze of pacts, agreements, and treaties that have included articles that have nothing to do with the agreements but state that the signatories will abide by some pronouncement of the UN.

This is particularly true of the USMCA, with over three thousand pages by the time it was implemented. Trump probably did not read it all and trusted the trade negotiator Robert Lighthizer to tell him what it contained. Trump wanted a good trade deal, but he apparently did not understand what came with it: environmental laws and loss of sovereignty. Lighthizer is a longtime member of the CFR.

The USMCA sets the stage for the merger of North America into one nation. While Trump talked about trade at the signing of the agreement, the president of Mexico was talking about the merger of the three countries — two different things.

The USMCA chapter on the environment at first glance seems harmless enough, until you realize that the agreement creates an international board, the Free Trade Commission, to regulate the agreement, bypassing the Congress and the American people. This board can change the treaty or impose new regulations as if they were an agency of the federal government.

Once a law is passed, the federal agencies produce the procedures, even new laws, to implement the mandate. Rarely, if ever, does the Congress overturn the agency's edicts. The same is true with international agreements. Since the two governments of Mexico and Canada are corrupt and socialist, it puts us at a disadvantage for new edicts coming from the USMCA.

The USMCA also ratified in principle a treaty (LOST) that the Senate had not ratified over the past few decades due to its onerous controls over American waters. This treaty has very strict restrictions as to what the United States may or may not do in the area of the environment. It was slipped through in the heat of the moment due to widespread support for a new agreement (the USMCA) to supplant NAFTA.

Yet the supporters of NAFTA, such as the CFR, said that the USMCA was NAFTA plus. If you go to "United States-Mexico-Canada Trade Fact Sheet: Modernizing NAFTA into a 21st Century Trade Agreement" on the Internet, you'll see that our U.S. trade representative is emphasizing that the USMCA doesn't eliminate NAFTA, it just modernizes it.

Some agreements, such as the Paris accord, have side-stepped the Congress and simply been signed by a president, to be implemented by the federal government as if they carried the weight of a treaty.

Since such agreements are not submitted to Congress, people believe that they will not be enforced. This is not true, because the executive branch expects its agencies to implement them as if they are binding. This is what happened to Agenda 21 with Bill Clinton's executive order.

The covert method of implementing controls through

regulation is done simply by publishing regulations in the *Federal Register* (which hardly anyone reads); then the regulations supposedly carry the weight of law. Yet the Constitution says that *only Congress* is able to make law.

The *Federal Register* publishes a vast number of pages of new “law” every year. In 2019 they published over 70,000 pages of new regulations. In 2016 they published over 97,000 pages. How many did you read? For that matter, how many did any congressman read?

Nonetheless, the Conspiracy’s agenda was being slowed down before the election of Joe Biden. It can be slowed down again if alert, concerned, organized citizens inform their fellow citizens and local opinion molders of the facts and point out that many issues have a hidden agenda.

In our next chapter, we shall see how the Agenda 2030 initiatives tie in to education.



## CHAPTER SEVEN

# EDUCATION FOR THE EARTH

---

An important means of setting up Americans to stand still for, or even support, the internationalists' environmental agenda is through the education system. This is the case with not only the public schools, but private education as well.

Keep in mind that most teachers come through the colleges of education at the universities, and this includes teachers hired at private and religious schools. At the colleges, they are heavily indoctrinated with support for sustainable development.

It is not unusual for these teachers to teach matters that are in direct conflict with religious doctrine in the religious schools, often without realizing they are doing so, since the programs can be subtle.

As is well known, dictatorships always use the school system to indoctrinate the youth. They know that if they win the children, they will succeed in the long run. In totalitarian systems this is obvious; in our system it has not been obvious until recently, except to very astute individuals who have tried to raise the alarm (with limited success). Concern over this, along with the China virus shutdown, has resulted in more parents moving their children into private schools and homeschools.

One of the biggest differences between public and private education in our country is the blatant international tone of public education vs. the subtle international tone in private education. The more “left” the basis of the instruction, the more biased it is toward the Conspiracy’s agenda. Private “conservative” education is not as biased toward internationalism; however, it usually leaves out some of the basics necessary for fully understanding our nation’s problems and how to solve them.

Knowledge is power. Whoever controls how people receive their knowledge controls the future.

The reverse is also true — the lack of knowledge is a lack of power. Ignorance is sweet, some say, but it is still ignorance.

There is a passage in the Old Testament that says it all: “Therefore my people have gone into captivity because they have no knowledge....” (Isaiah 5:13)

Thomas Jefferson, the third president of the United States, addressed the issue of remedying political problems. In a letter to William C. Jarvis he wrote:

I know no safe depository of the ultimate powers of society, but the people themselves: and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is ... to inform their discretion by education. This is the true corrective of abuses of constitutional power.

The internationalists must dumb down America in order to subjugate us. It is that simple. It is not whether people

can read or write as much as it is whether they can think for themselves, and have enough knowledge and discernment to recognize what is being done to them in time to do something about it. The Germans are probably the best example of a well-educated people who did not know enough to prevent them from being subjugated by an arm of the Marxist conspiracy, the Nazis, or National Socialists.

The remedy, as Jefferson informs us, is to educate the people so that they understand what is going on and can do something about it.

Frankly, as much as the Conspiracy does to prevent knowledge from reaching the people, they are not as concerned about what people know about them as they are concerned about what people do about it.

One of the main problems with modern American education is that, almost universally, logic is no longer taught in the schools. If students cannot think logically, they are severely handicapped in trying to understand much of anything that threatens their well-being.

For instance, if all plant life needs CO<sub>2</sub>, then it is illogical for men to work to diminish it in our atmosphere. And, all studies indicate that the increase of carbon dioxide increases the growth of foliage greatly. Then the increased plant life gives off oxygen as a result. More carbon dioxide, more foliage and trees, more oxygen.

The same with the use of water. Limiting man from the use of water will not increase the amount of water available. The water will still be there, though perhaps in a different form. It may evaporate to some extent, but it is still there in vapor. What does not evaporate will be utilized in cultivation of crops, raising animals, and drinking water for hu-



mans. In any case, it will eventually find its way back into the ecosystem, one way or another; it doesn't "go away."

The lack of information regarding the workings of the ecosystem plays a major role in misguided environmental analyses. For instance, there is great concern about having enough free-flowing water in spawning rivers for the salmon. Yet the government often raises the fish in hatcheries and releases them out into the rivers to the ocean. They count the returning fish, which are never what was released to the wild, and then gripe about the environment being a major part of the problem.

They never talk about the Russians, Japanese, and Chinese who wait off our shores to harvest the fish we paid the government to hatch and release. Oh, they stop the American fishermen from fishing, but do little or nothing about other countries doing the same thing. American fishing fleets used to be very large, but now are down to a fraction of what they used to be due to government regulation.

Another, perhaps the most illogical, proposal is to erect tens of thousands of electrical power outlets across the country for the charging of future electric cars on the streets and highways. At the same time, those proposing such a grid are advocating the shutting down of power stations generating electricity and using only solar and wind power.

No one seems to calculate the need for power to build the solar panels and wind towers. It is considerable. And, wind and solar won't work if the wind doesn't blow or the sun doesn't shine.

This author has an acquaintance who works for a major power company, and his job is to coordinate the availability of fossil-driven power plants to come on line when the

wind stops blowing to turn wind-powered generators. These plants have to be ready in such cases, meaning that they have to be fired up continually to be able to do so. In other words, the fossil plants have to be operating at all times in order to patch into the system once the wind stops.

Electric cars are far more expensive than gas-driven vehicles. And what about trucks? America moves everything by truck. No one seems to be discussing these vehicles being electric. Can you imagine the cost of converting to electric the vast truck fleets that exist? Another thought: how long does it take to charge a vehicle compared to filling the gas tank? It certainly takes much longer, lengthening the time it takes to get from point A to point B if it is a long trip, by either car or truck.

It takes anywhere from thirty minutes to a day to charge an electric car, depending on several things, including the make and weather. Consider how much time it would add to your travel should you want to take a trip.

Thinking through things reveals a system the environmentalists have in mind to price us out of owning private vehicles, or to make it so inconvenient that we are limited to short trips. This curtails private transportation and our ability to come and go as we please — which is the point, after all.

In addition to ignorance being propagated, the heart and soul have been torn out of the breast of average Americans, so that they no longer have the will to stand up to what is going on.

Notice that the political pundits rarely — if ever — tell you what you can do about a problem. They only point it out and say that some political party needs to do something about it.

If they do admit that something can be done, too often they engage the listeners with organizations that mislead them, either out of ignorance or due to purposeful misdirection.

Some pundits do purposefully misdirect their audience. They often insist on standing up for political correctness, but not for the values of our forefathers.

In other words, according to political correctness, to stand up for the Left's issues is good, to stand up for the so-called Right's issues is bad. It is not unusual for students and faculty to be punished for being "politically incorrect." The punishment can vary from admonition to expulsion from an institution or termination of employment.

This was often the case in 2020, during both the election and the pandemic. Many lives and professions were ruined due to people being on the wrong side of political correctness, which too often involved opposition to edicts violating the Bill of Rights. Censorship was also a serious problem during this time. An entire book could be written demonstrating how the government got out of hand. No opposition to the "official" line regarding the pandemic was allowed, even though the official position was a moving target. The UN was in this mix through their World Health Organization.

A great deal could be written about our modern education and how it channels the students into support for the Marxist agenda. However, we need to concentrate herein on how it affects the environmental movement and Agenda 2030.

You can go to any state education department's website and find that they have extensive programs for integrating into the entire curricula the idea of sustainable development and protection of the environment.

The Left Coast can serve as an example of this problem, even though it is national in scope.

The Washington State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction promotes its “Integrated Environmental and Sustainability K-12 Learning Standards.” It is a part of every curriculum, from kindergarten to the last year of high school.

It is the same with the California Department of Education, with their “Education & the Environment Initiative Curriculum,” again from K-12. They claim that the curriculum was adopted to “increase environmental literacy” for California’s students. They didn’t mention increasing the normal literacy usually taught in schools, although these levels are decreasing with alarming regularity.

Students vetted at some private schools for determining which grade public-school transfers should be enrolled in are finding that current levels of literacy have fallen an average of two to three years below where the students historically should be from public schools around the country. Extreme cases exist in such cities as Baltimore, Milwaukee, Detroit, Cleveland, and Fresno, where students typically score 13% or so on tests of grade-level proficiency in reading and math.

The federal government also gets into the act of promoting the environmental agenda. The U.S. Department of Education has a Green Strides program that grants awards to school districts around the country if they have a robust program that promotes the environmental program. One of the provisions for receiving the award is “providing effective sustainability education, including robust environmental education that engages ... civic skills, and green career pathways.”

In other words, part of the requirement is that the school

encourage students to become involved in political movements and employed in promoting the environmental agenda in “green careers.”

The federal government’s involvement in this area is quite extensive, as an online search of the various Department of Education sites will demonstrate.

Over the past several years many parents around the country have become concerned over the teaching methods begun by Common Core. Due to the pressure exerted by these parents some school districts and state departments of education have discontinued Common Core. However, in most cases, the authorities have simply dropped the name “Common Core” but kept the curriculum.

Part of the Common Core initiative is to educate the world’s students on the same things on the same day around the world. In other words, a student in Singapore and a student in Los Angeles will be studying the same subject from the same book on any given day — although obviously in different languages.

The problem is that the American students will not be taught the subjects that enhance their knowledge of Americanism or our basic documents — the Constitution, Bill of Rights, Declaration of Independence, etc. Rather, they will receive an education that benefits internationalism.

Worse yet, the world’s education system will be under centralized global control, not the control of local school boards or parents.\*

The U.S. Global Leadership Coalition is another organization, among many, that promotes world education while

\* For documentation, read *In the Shadows of the Deep State*, available at [ShopJBS.org](http://ShopJBS.org).

promoting the environmental movement. They state: “Education is one of America’s most important global investments.” It is sold as Americans helping children around the world to receive an education. The initiative is fraught with the danger of establishing an international standard that would be imposed on American children.

Again, an international standard would eliminate teaching civics from the standpoint of the American system vs. everyone else.

While the coalition projects an image of independence, the membership and leadership are heavily laden with members of the Council on Foreign Relations. Part of their agenda is the promotion of environmentalism. One of their agenda items is “Climate Change and the Developing World: A Disproportionate Impact.”

The National Education Association also gets into the act. They advocate teaching global citizenship in the classroom while promoting environmental education. In their 2020-2021 NEA Resolutions, the first point under Environmental Education is “The concept of interdependence of humanity and nature.” The last point is for “Student preparation for careers in the green jobs sector.” Green, of course, means the environmental movement.

The United States withdrew from UNESCO, the United Nations education arm, under Donald Trump. Even so, the NEA and other teachers associations work with Education International and other international teachers alliances that work with UNESCO. So, the programs which caused the Trump administration to withdraw still come into the United States through the back door by these associations, which include an international preference rather than an American

one regarding education.

The U.S. Department of Education under Barack Obama's secretary of education, Arne Duncan, cooperated heavily with syncing our educational system with internationalism. It was not unusual for China's minister of education, Yuan Guiren, and vice minister, Hao Ping (among others), to attend meetings in the United States and recommend how an international standard of education could be put together.

Last, but by no means least in helping promote the international education agenda, is the Global Partnership for Education, whose task is to be a "major delivery agency of the Sustainable Development Goals agenda." The CEO is Alice Albright, a member of the Council on Foreign Relations, who has served with JPMorgan and Citibank.

We have just scratched the surface of American organizations that work in the sphere of education. If you so desire, surf the Web and see the large number of education organizations that cooperate on establishing international standards and work, at least partly, if not completely, within the international environmental agenda.

## CHAPTER EIGHT

# PREPARE THE WAY FOR A WORLD SHUTDOWN

---

As stated before, if in 2019 you were told that there was a pandemic coming, that governments would shut down their countries and/or their economies, you would not have believed it.

Most people do not believe it now. Most have not seen the evidence that the Wuhan virus was a planned event, and even when they do, many people just cannot believe that there are people who are willing to kill others to achieve their goals.

We know that there is corruption, we know that there are people who deal in human trafficking for prostitution and child molestation. We know this because history tells us that there are people who take over countries and slaughter certain members of their own population (Nazi Germany being one of the main examples). However, most people cannot believe in a conspiracy to bring about controls over the entire planet. It is just too overwhelming.

A brief look at the conditions in Communist China shows anyone that they engage in a system that rivals the concentration camps of Germany and the gulag system of the



USSR. Millions of people were slaughtered to bring about and consolidate communism in China — at least 60 million, probably more.

Today it is not known how many people are worked to death, deliberately killed, or starved in remote areas of China every year. Videos smuggled out to the rest of the world show that the conditions the average person sees when visiting China, or the well-controlled face China shows to outsiders, do not show the real story of the totalitarian control over the people that results in some still literally living in holes in the ground, labor camps, etc. Tiananmen Square was real, but those horrific images are fading today from people's minds.

The point is, the Chinese communist government has no conscience when it comes to human life, inside their country or outside.

That being said, one should not have a problem believing that the Wuhan virus was deliberately released on the world to achieve the ends that it did.

One thing to consider is that China was in financial trouble. Their timetable for surpassing the United States economically by 2020 was seriously delayed. If they could not meet their goals economically, they needed to find a means to slow down the growth of the American economy to at least narrow the gap between the American and Chinese economies.

Perhaps it is impossible to believe that such a viral occurrence could be man-made. Yet, it is obvious that the virus is not as much of a killer as originally thought. In the United States alone, there were many who exposed the fact that the statistics regarding those who died of the virus were skewed.

The fact that hospitals could receive thousands of dol-

lars to aid in their treatment of Wuhan virus patients led to deliberately inflating the number of patients infected with the virus in order to collect the bonus from the government. And the recovery rate for those who were infected was 99.9 percent unless they were elderly and/or had preconditions, such as diabetes, cancer, etc., that made them vulnerable.

In other words, the virus was not as much of a killer as was broadcast. The Fake News in league with certain government health officials spread fear among the population, creating the mental condition necessary for the people to fall for and accept the lockdown and government control.

Lockdowns were declared in many states and localities beginning in March 2020, and some persisted for many months. Some authoritarian jurisdictions even lifted them and then reimposed them.

Keep in mind that these were edicts, not laws, yet in some areas they were enforced as if they were laws. (In some areas, however, there were sheriffs, police, and other officials who did not enforce the edicts because they knew they were illegal and against the Bill of Rights, particularly the First Amendment.)

The Bill of Rights does not provide for any exceptions for the gathering of people, either in church or anywhere else. Our Founders had to deal with diseases, such as yellow fever, small pox, cholera, etc., yet they did not say that government may not pass a law against the gathering of people except in case of disease or some other contrived inconvenience. There are no exceptions.

Yet the people of America by and large obeyed. They were being conditioned for the future.

Whether the edicts were valid or not, the people who is-

sued them seemed to be exempt. They and their families and friends did not obey the edicts prohibiting going boating or to hair salons, etc., because they knew that the shutdowns for the purpose declared were phony. Also, why did they allow certain facilities to remain open, such as liquor stores, marijuana outlets, abortion clinics, etc., but not churches?

In many areas there was an obvious campaign against churches and synagogues. More than one mayor threatened to permanently shut down churches and synagogues if they dared to meet for worship services.

For the first time in our history, Easter — the most important Christian holiday, celebrating the resurrection of Christ — was forbidden. This is astonishing considering that this country was founded on a Judeo-Christian basis.

Why are we talking about this in relationship to Agenda 2030? We are getting there, but we need to give you the evidence that the pandemic was planned. Even if you do not believe this, you have to believe that the results were planned to use when a pandemic did come along, whether it was deliberately unleashed to the world or not.

The latter is reminiscent of the strategy pointed out by Rahm Emanuel, chief of staff for Obama (later mayor of Chicago) at the “2008 Shaping the New Agenda” forum hosted by the *Wall Street Journal*: “You never want a serious crisis to go to waste. What I mean by that, it is an opportunity to do things you think you could not do before.”

The action is in the reaction. Governments have a long history of using fear to impose new controls, regulations, and taxation on their citizens. When the “crisis” is over, they rarely drop the new controls. Fear is a great tool for government to increase its power. The more fear that is generated,

the more government can get away with accumulating power.

Another important aspect of the pandemic was its use in propaganda designed to sway the outcome of the 2020 election. This included associating blame for the pandemic with President Trump rather than with those who actually caused damage and dislocation, such as the communist Chinese. Also, it provided for increased mail-in voting ballots, a tactic fraught with irregularities tolerated or even sought by corrupt local governments. Corrupt governors changed the manner in which the people voted, without the state legislatures being involved. Yet the Constitution says that it is the legislatures that will determine the method of choosing electors — which starts with how the people vote.

This is why the Bill of Rights was ratified and the Constitution written — to limit the power of government, whether it was being used to curtail freedom or the vote. Our Founders understood this process because they studied history and knew the nature of government.

There were a lot of doctors who tried to breach the wall of Fake News to negate the rhetoric regarding the Wuhan virus, but to little avail. Video existed showing that the reported throngs at testing sites were greatly exaggerated, or even false in many instances. This author frequently passed by the local testing area in his city, and rarely were there more than two people there, usually none.

Many temporary hospitals that were built during the “emergency” at a cost of over \$66 million were taken down just as fast within a couple of weeks — some never had a single patient, such as in Seattle, New York, Houston, etc. Four such hospitals were not needed and were never completed.

Many medical professionals who had a contravening opinion from that of the CDC were censored from the Internet.

And, the problem started in China.

Let us interject that the future strategy of the Chinese People's Liberation Army (PLA) toward warfare rests on three legs: Cyberwarfare, outer space, and biological warfare. The latter is denied, yet China has several biological experimental laboratories that are called "civilian" but are overseen by the PLA. The man who apparently is in charge of this program is Major General He Fuchu, vice-president of the PLA's Academy of Military Science.

One of the initiatives promoted by the Chinese and the American Deep State as part of the movement toward a New World Order is a global system to track whether or not people have been immunized. This would be implemented in conjunction with vaccinations.

On April 10, 2020, it was reported in *The Washington Times* that Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), and one of the first advisors to President Trump on the national pandemic advisors team, said it was possible Americans could eventually carry around certificates of immunity to the coronavirus once proper testing is widespread enough.

Actually, this proposal is not new. Studies by globalists for how to implement such a plan have been ongoing for quite some time, including many funded by the Chinese communists, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, National Science Foundation, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, China Scholarship Council, and National Science Foundation of China.

In 2010, the World Health Organization (WHO), UNICEF, NIAID, and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation launched the “Global Vaccine Action Plan to guide discovery, development and delivery of lifesaving vaccines.”

The leadership council for the initiative included:

Margaret Chan, director general of WHO, now serving on the Council of Advisors of the China-based globalist organization Boao Forum for Asia;

Dr. Anthony Fauci, director of NIAID;

Anthony Lake, executive director of UNICEF, who failed in his attempt to become Bill Clinton’s head of the CIA due to his pro-communist proclivities;

Joy Phumaphi, chairman, International Advisory Committee and executive secretary, African Leaders Malaria Alliance; and

Tachi Yamada, president of Global Health at the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and a member of the CFR.

All that was needed was a pandemic to provide the excuse to proceed with their plans.

This Global Vaccine Action Plan called for ID technologies to track those vaccinated, conditioning people to accept the idea that they will need to prove their vaccination and health status before being allowed by government to engage in any activities that heretofore were allowed a free people without restriction, such as the freedom to travel.

One may question this idea, but look at what 9/11 did for the traveler at American airports, and the formation of TSA with its searches and regulations, in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the Bill of Rights. Fear can create controls by government that never go away, even after the danger has passed.

The promoters of the global tracking system used to use the term “global health governance.” However, they switched to the term “global health security” because it sounds so much better. After all, people want security, not governance — even if it means the same thing.

In May 2010 another thought-provoking report was issued, this time by the Rockefeller Foundation, titled *Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development*. One scenario had the title “LOCK STEP: A world of tighter top-down government control and more authoritarian leadership, with limited innovation and growing citizen pushback.”

In this scenario, due to a pandemic there were mandatory face masks, body-temperature checks at entrances to shopping malls and transportation hubs, etc. And as described in this scenario, these types of controls over the people were relaxed very slowly, even once the pandemic subsided.

The point is, there have been many globalists and planners who have foreseen the use of pandemics and fear among the population to grow government control.

You do not need to call it communism if a pandemic works just as well.

Dr. Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus was elected to head WHO with the fervent support of China. He previously served in the leadership of the Tigray People's Liberation Front, an ethno-Marxist terror group in Ethiopia that followed Maoist direction.

While playing its role as a mouthpiece for China, WHO made the virus look like a normal flu, allowing it to spread rapidly.

As a result of the “mistakes” made by WHO, President Trump pulled the U.S. out of the organization. Biden returned us to WHO, with a fee of \$500 million per year.

At this time, more and more information came out about Chinese infiltration into American universities, especially into research laboratories, and particularly microbiology and biochemistry laboratories, with Chinese “students” turning out to be PLA officers, and the bribing of American professors, such as Dr. Charles Lieber at Harvard.

The call for more global control grew. On March 18, 2020, the CFR printed in *Foreign Affairs* an article entitled “The Coronavirus Could Reshape Global Order: China Is Maneuvering for International Leadership as the United States Falters.” This article criticized the United States’ handling of the pandemic, and praised China.

Not to be left out, Dr. Henry Kissinger (CFR), who opened up China to the world originally in the 1970s, came on the scene with an editorial in the *Wall Street Journal* of April 3, 2020, with a headline that said it all: “The Coronavirus Pandemic Will Forever Alter the World Order: The U.S. Must Protect Its Citizens From Disease While Starting the Urgent Work of Planning for a New Epoch.” A short quote lays out the subtle strategy toward building a world order:

The crisis effort, however vast and necessary, must not crowd out the urgent task of launching a parallel enterprise for the transition to the post-coronavirus order.



These globalists love the word “order.” In the above use of the word, Kissinger meant the world order. This may be the only article or book he wrote that did not use the term New World Order.

The Kissinger article continued to say that since the virus doesn't honor borders, international cooperation across several fronts is necessary — all subtly leading to world government, as cleverly insinuated in the article.

Also not to be left out, the former communist dictator of Russia, Mikhail Gorbachev, got into the act calling for world order. In an interview with Pressenza International Press Agency, he stated that he wanted “an emergency special session of the U.N. General Assembly, to be held as soon as the situation is stabilized. It should be about nothing less than revising the entire global agenda.”

Most Americans do not see such articles (or skip over them) when they appear in the newspapers, and these articles are rarely reported in the evening news. If the American people are not aware of what the Deep State has in mind, they cannot do anything about it. Yet the evidence is there if one takes the time to look.

We believe that we have given enough substantiation by now to convince you that there are people who want to change America into simply a region within a world government, using any crisis they can to do so.\*

Another result of this pandemic, rarely mentioned, is the change in American society. Keep in mind that Karl Marx in *The Communist Manifesto* stated that the real objective was

\* There is further documentation to show that the pandemic was a planned event in the book *China: The Deep State's Trojan Horse in America*, available at ShopJBS.org.

a social revolution. He realized that communism could not be established without societal change. And, American society changed dramatically under the regulations, from social distancing, to banning churches from meeting, to closing businesses, to wearing face masks that really separate people, etc.

Americans became more frightened of each other as a result of the fear that was generated. The Deep State talked about all of us coming together during the crisis, while they spread fear to keep us apart.

Benjamin Franklin said it well:

Those who would give up essential liberty to purchase a little temporary safety; deserve neither Liberty or safety.

Was all of this planned in advance? Some of it definitely was, ready to be implemented. And in league with China, it was used to benefit the globalists' agenda.

The American people were now ready to accept further controls on their freedom due to fear and the need for "safety."

Now we come to what lies before us: the plans for implementing the Agenda 2030 environmental program.



## CHAPTER NINE

# THE GREAT RESET

---

Let us recap somewhat to set the stage for revealing the next step in the plan to save the planet (the ultimate fear) to control the Earth's population.

The World Health Organization has tried to regain its reputation since the Trump administration pulled out of WHO. Although WHO now claims that China didn't report the virus to them until a much later date, this does not let them off the hook relative to the misinformation they continued to release over the course of the pandemic. (President Biden, of course, restored U.S. membership in WHO very early in his presidency.)

The main public relations conduit, Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, the director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, continued his misinformation as well. In January 2020 he stated that the coronavirus was not a "major threat," then changed his mind. In March 2020 he said that "people should not be walking around with a mask," but again changed his mind. He then said that the infections in the U.S. would hit 100,000 a day. It never did until extensive testing was implemented. Later, he recommended that people wear goggles.

Fauci refused to answer under oath as to whether demon-

strations such as those organized by Black Lives Matter should be curtailed in the name of the pandemic. He was evasive. He wasn't evasive about whether it was okay to have sex with a stranger — that would be okay.

Fauci was opposed initially to shutting down flights from China when Trump first proposed the move to limit the spread of the virus.

Peter Navarro, Trump's trade advisor, said that Fauci "has a good bedside manner with the public, but he has been wrong about everything I have ever interacted with him on."

We go over these points to show that government bureaucrats are not always right or consistent.

Stories abound showing that the official number of virus cases was inflated and that quarantining people was counterproductive. A point that never seemed to get across to people was that the more the people were tested, the more cases showed up. Many were asymptomatic, never even realizing that they carried the virus. The mainstream media never pointed these things out to their audiences, and anyone who did was censored from the air and Internet.

People looked at the growing numbers of infected rather than those who died, believing that we had a worse problem than we did: Be afraid, be very afraid.

As a result of all of the fear generated by the Deep State, this Chinese menace hit the American economy hard, and the long-range effect on the behavior patterns of the people remained, with social distancing, wearing masks, etc.

The worst effect was the excuse it gave Marxist state officials to move forward with mail-in ballots for voting, which greatly increased the potential for voter fraud in 2020.

One benefit that came out of this, however, was that

more and more people began to realize that the people they had voted into office were not what they claimed to be, and were totalitarian.

The involvement of Deep State personnel and Chinese influence vis-à-vis the pandemic is best presented in an article by William F. Jasper in *The New American* magazine of August 10, 2020. Titled “Directing the Disorder,” this particular article with its accompanying charts and tables regarding the Council on Foreign Relations is of great value in identifying the people behind many of the current problems we see in America.\*

Now we come to the next step in the Marxist program to build their New World Order.

The internationalists are trying to use the Chinese viral pandemic to move forward with their program for a “reset” around the entire globe. The reset is a pet project of the World Economic Forum; the United Nations; the Council on Foreign Relations; its sister organization in Britain, the Royal Institute of International Affairs; and other world-government promoting organizations.

The reset program entails revising the world’s economic and political systems, particularly in the United States and in nations not already under the complete control of the globalists and communists. They use very charming verbiage to couch what they have in store. For instance, the World Economic Forum’s website says:

There is an urgent need for global stakeholders to cooperate in simultaneously managing the direct consequences of the COVID-19 crisis. To im-

\* “Directing the Disorder” is available as a reprint from ShopJBS.org.

prove the state of the world, the World Economic Forum is starting The Great Reset initiative.

It goes on to state that the economic, financial, social, health, business, farming, hunger, etc., aspects of every country need to be combined into a world agenda that would supersede the independence of individual countries.

In other words, this is a program that would replace the independence of individual countries with a one-world agenda superimposed on every country — the people be damned — run by the elite, elected or unelected, all in the name of saving the planet.

They do not adequately explain how this is needed because of a viral pandemic. Nonetheless, the pandemic set the stage to get the people used to the idea that government can get away with just about any edict they wish in the name of fear.

The pandemic started by China set the stage for world-wide shutdowns in the name of public health. Some Western countries were hurt economically by 30 percent. The reader knows this to be so in the United States, although the economic damage varied from state to state.

While the economic recovery from the shutdowns was remarkable in some cases, many businesses never recovered.

Now, following the pattern established during the pandemic, a well-orchestrated campaign has taken shape to convince people that we need shutdowns in the name of the environment. A Rockefeller Foundation-financed professor in the United Kingdom is calling for such a move. (Recall that in 2010 the Rockefeller Foundation was involved in planning massive controls on the people and their freedom in the name of a viral outbreak.)

According to this economics professor from the University College London, Mariana Mazzucato:

In the near future, the world may need to resort to lockdowns again — this time to tackle a climate emergency.

She also wrote that governments under a climate lockdown “would limit private vehicle use” and “ban consumption of red meat.”

While this is only one person, she is financed by the Rockefeller Foundation, a key financier of Deep State initiatives.

By going to [greatreset.com](https://greatreset.com) and the websites of the World Economic Forum you can see that this initiative is no small potatoes.

It is essentially the remaking of society as called for by Karl Marx in *The Communist Manifesto*:

The Communists ... openly declare that their ends can be attained only by the forcible overthrow of all existing social conditions.

The Great Reset website says:

The pause during the lockdown created by the pandemic will result in a 7% decrease in global emissions in 2020. The UN states that we need a decrease of 7.6% every year until 2030 to avoid climate and ecological disaster. This means we need to maintain the same decrease every year as if we are living in a lockdown.



In other words, a continued lockdown will save the planet.

How many people will it kill in the process? Studies have shown that lockdowns lead to a lot of suicides, alcoholism, and spousal abuse; more rapid deaths in the elderly; many additional deaths due to fear of visiting the doctor, etc.

The worst country in the world for pollution is China. The mouths of their rivers look like giant garbage dumps and their industry doesn't even attempt to limit pollution, with their industrial cities locked in smog.

They will not follow any edicts issued to stop their industry and economy any more than they have lived up to other agreements they have made. This will mean that China will surge ahead of the rest of the world to truly become the global leader economically if the rest of the non-communist world is shut down.

Lest you think that this is some sort of fringe group promoting the Great Reset, go to the World Economic Forum website and see who is promoting this initiative. There you will find that the WEF partners in this program include Facebook, Google, Apple, Citibank, Goldman Sachs, corporate members of the Council on Foreign Relations, and many Chinese communist companies, such as Alibaba.

Even the pope of the Catholic Church has gotten into the act. In the encyclical titled *Fratelli Tutti* (All Brothers), Pope Francis called for a reset without calling it that. The document says that the theories of capitalism do not work, and calls for greater human solidarity to solve the world's problems. Further, the encyclical says, the coronavirus pandemic gives us the opportunity to expose the falsehoods of capitalism and rectify the world's problems. It also denounces the absolute right to private property.

While the Chinese communists continue to persecute the Catholic Church in China, the pope seems to want to cooperate with the communist government. There is apparently a scheme by China to finance Rome (this has been denounced by many Chinese members of the Catholic clergy). This ploy has not reached the attention of the mainstream media, but information relative to it does play out online.

These sorts of pronouncements and cooperation are some of the reasons the Catholic faithful are looking for more conservative leadership in their local churches, which are flourishing in comparison to Catholic parishes under more liberal leadership.

This is generally true of all denominations in America.

The Chinese cooperated with U.S. organizations in planning what to do in the case of a worldwide virus, and now they continue to scheme, with the idea of perpetual lockdowns in the name of the environment.

People were beginning to wake up to the Conspiracy for world control. The internationalists were beginning to be worried about the changes in attitude among people worldwide relative to the formation of a world government. There have been several articles in globalist publications that indicated they were worried.

The America First agenda of President Trump had grown, along with the idea of “draining the Swamp” in Washington, D.C., an integral part of the Deep State. The people may not have realized just exactly what comprised the globalist Deep State, but they wanted it to change.

The same problem existed for the Deep State in Great Britain, with the Brexit movement working against the regionalization of Europe into a superstate as a step toward

world government. There were other nationalist programs as well in places like Poland, the Czech Republic, Hungary, etc.

How was the Deep State going to negate this “uprising” of the people?

Courtesy of the People’s Republic of China, the pandemic came along at just the right time for the globalists to put a stop to the independence movements, particularly in America and Europe. It was time for the next step.

Further into the WEF website, they state:

To achieve a better outcome, the world must act jointly and swiftly to revamp all aspects of our societies and economies, from education to social contracts and working conditions. Every country, from the United States to China, must participate, and every industry, from oil and gas to tech, must be transformed. In short, we need a “Great Reset” of capitalism.

The real reason they need this done “swiftly” is not because the Earth is in danger, but because the people may wake up and realize what is being done to them before it is too late.

Klaus Schwab is the founder of the WEF and one of the architects of the Great Reset. Regarding the pandemic of 2020 he said:

Many of us are pondering when things will return to normal. The short response is: never. Nothing will ever return to the ... normalcy that prevailed prior to the crisis because the coronavirus pandemic marks a fundamental inflection point in our global trajectory.

By “our global trajectory,” he means his New World Order.

He goes on to say, “Specifically, we will need to reconsider our collective commitment to ‘capitalism’ as we have known it.”

Schwab is an internationalist of the first order. He is an honorary professor at the China Foreign Affairs University, on the United Nations High-Level Advisory Board on Sustainable Development, and a former member of the steering committee of the Bilderberg Group, to name just a very few of his positions and responsibilities.

Further yet into the WEF website:

As we enter a unique window of opportunity to shape the recovery, this initiative will offer insights to help inform all those determining the future state of global relations, the direction of national economies, the priorities of societies, the nature of business models and the management of a global commons.

In other words, there needs to be a centralized plan. A world plan. For those who have doubted that an organized worldwide conspiracy exists, they spell it out right in front of us, as long as the reader believes what he is really reading. For some, it is just too hard to believe.

It is a direct attack on the Constitution of the United States and the independence of the American people.

The Constitution does not allow for the controls and proposals being put forward by these internationalists. However, the campaigns of 2020 revealed that too many politicians were pushing the internationalist agenda through the envi-

ronmental program of the United Nations. We will begin showing this in the next chapter.

## CHAPTER TEN

# BUILDING BACK BETTER

---

One of the methods political parties use to garner votes is mass mailing pieces of literature that stand out so that they cannot be missed or failed to be opened.

One such piece that was mailed out to many voters around the country was a heavy piece of paper, 8 ½ by 11, stating that Joe Biden was going to “BUILD BACK BETTER,” which promoted his candidacy in the 2020 election.

In addition, he often had this slogan prominently displayed at his rallies and shown on television. What the media did not show was how few people were in attendance at his rallies, if any. It was way too obvious that Biden’s campaign lacked enthusiasm when he had anywhere from zero to a couple hundred people before him at any given time, when Trump had a minimum of 15,000 to upwards of 50,000 showing up for his rallies — not how many got into the main area or building of his events, but those who showed up for them, many of whom could not get into the building or fenced off area.

It was obvious that the Biden campaign had other means to get out the vote and control how people think: the mass media and social media, coupled with voter fraud in some instances. In the process, information about the corruption

of the Biden family was kept from the people.

Too few asked the question as to how a politician such as Biden, who only signed the back of a government check for 47 years, rose to become a millionaire with considerable assets.

What most people did not realize was that Build Back Better was a campaign slogan of the United Nations, which came about in 2015 at the Third UN World Conference on Disaster Reduction. It has been used in socialist political campaigns in foreign countries and environmental initiatives.

While supposedly concerning “building back” after a disaster, meaning Donald Trump in the case of Biden, a large portion of the plan dealt with the environment.

The program includes a wide swath of common initiatives in the building back of communities hit by disasters, and promotes the idea that local government and business should come increasingly under the control of megabanks, large corporations, and international standards — to the detriment of local small businesses and local governmental independence.

While the plan never specifically described the initiative as we have here, nonetheless, thinking it through and looking at the results, in their desperation to recover from any disaster, local communities come under the influence and direct control of large enterprises and internationalism once they follow the Build Back Better program.

While the brochure issued by the Biden campaign was filled with the idea that everything the federal government would do to help build back after the pandemic was against big business, big government, big banks, etc., the opposite was true. There was no other way for it to be accomplished except to use the established big businesses and banks.

Thinking it through to its logical end, coming into multiple, small devastated communities takes coordination, not by small individually owned businesses, but by large corporations.

In this manner, any disaster, regardless of the cause — flood, hurricane, pandemic, etc. — can be used to eliminate the little guy in favor of big businesses and big government.

We are not opposed to big business per se, but if it is connected to sweetheart deals through the government to the detriment of local independent businesses, and receiving taxpayer money, then we object.

On the UN's website concerning the environment ([unenvironment.org](http://unenvironment.org)) is a page titled: "Building Back Better: Why we must think of the next generation."

They state that after the pandemic "attitudes may shift most ... on the environment" and that the "youth are way ahead of their elders when it comes to protecting the planet."

It goes on to say that many initiatives exist around the world, supposedly led by the youth of their countries, to compel environmental action from government and businesses, many through the courts with lawsuits.

Basically, what we see across the spectrum of UN activities is the inclusion of environmental initiatives. In this case the focus is on the youth of the world.

Of course we all know that the world is loaded with lawyers who want to help children sue people, right? Sure.

The UN site has somewhat of a threatening language:

It's a principle that has been echoed in the statements of the UN Secretary-General, who has said that building back better must "respect the rights of future generations."



If youth attitudes continue to solidify over environmental action because of the pandemic, the adults may not have a choice.

As [David] Boyd [UN Special Rapporteur on human rights and the environment] notes, “Millions of children and youth across the planet are calling for change. The children have spoken. Now the adults must act.”

If anyone thinks that the children of the world are organizing such matters, they do not have a clue about how things get done. Someone higher up organizes them and pays for their travel to various conferences and meetings around the world. The delegates are chosen by the local Marxist units, who already have a global network at play and take their orders accordingly. And, the communists have organizations of lawyers ready to do the bidding of the party.

The youths are not old enough to have made the acquaintance of people all over their country, let alone the world, to organize much of anything without older people arranging their contacts and the funding.

Getting back to the beginning of this chapter, Biden and the Democratic Party did not think up this slogan, it was given to them by the United Nations and their people at work in the environmental movement in the United States. It was not the first time that Biden's campaigns have plagiarized ideas; it is habitual with him. However, it gives us a clue as to where Biden is coming from.

The Democratic Platform for the campaign of 2020 had a ten-point program that included climate change and the

environment. These subjects — and more — were never included in the Constitution to be managed by government. The Tenth Amendment specifically states that if the federal government operates outside of its constitutional limitations, the laws are to be null and void:

The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people.

James Madison wrote in 1798 that when the federal government exercises powers not granted in the Constitution, “the states who are parties thereto, have the right, and are duty bound, to interpose.” In other words, the states are to stop these powers from being exercised.

This can be done by the use of what is called nullification: If enough states refuse to enforce unconstitutional federal laws, or pass laws to deny the enforcement of unconstitutional federal laws in their states, the federal laws become null and void.

The states gave up much of their control over federal legislation with the passage of the Seventeenth Amendment, which took away the power of appointing senators from the state governments and made the position elected by the popular vote. The original intent of the Founders was for the Senate to represent the state governments, not the “people”; representing the “people” was the job of the House of Representatives.

In other words, the Seventeenth Amendment altered the structure of the federal government by diminishing the im-

portance of the states relative to the federal government. Many of the checks and balances that were originally part of the federal system no longer applied. By ratifying the Seventeenth Amendment, the sovereign states relinquished their part in the federal government and became nothing more than regional portions of the country.

Originally, the states were dunned to make up the difference for any federal spending that went over budget. If a state's senator voted for such a budget, he had to answer to the state government as to why he had done so, with the possibility that he would not be reappointed to that office. Once the change was made requiring the senators to be elected by the people, this leverage on the federal budget began to disappear. Not only that, but the roles of who was financing whom were changed, and the federal government began to help finance the state budgets.

It is common today for individual state budgets to be financed by the federal government to the level of about one-quarter to one-third. This incentivizes the state legislatures to go along with the federal government's laws for fear of losing that revenue. (Don't rock the boat, they may not send us the money!)

This contributes to the dilemma of the states not being able to stop environmental regulations that come down through the Congress or to tell the federal government to cease and desist.

In many of the edicts that are presented today, the tactic of the carrot and the stick comes into play. The carrot is usually money, the stick is anything from stopping the money to imposing even worse restrictions.

In many instances, the federal government imposes rules

and regulations to be carried out by the legislatures of the states. Some states are structured so that the legislatures cannot do much in the way of imposing new regulations. Instead, the cities have to do it. Nonetheless, the legislatures can make it very difficult for the cities if they do not follow through with what the legislatures want.

Now we've seen that the same thing was being done to the citizens with the shutdowns. The edicts imposed by governors, counties, and/or cities rarely were enforced by the government. The edicts were enforced by the businesses. The government did not have the actual legal authority or the manpower, but they did have the ability to enforce their edicts on the businesses, who in turn enforced them on their customers.

This same process works with the enforcement of environmental regulations.

This author served on his local city council. Our state legislature could not impose ordinances on our town directly, but could force us to pass city ordinances. In one case, the government wanted us to put more water passing out of the local sewer-processing facility into the local stream to help the fish, but at the same time the government wanted us to cut back on the water put into the stream because it came from leaks into the sewer system by clean underground water. These edicts contradicted each other. The fines in either case were daily until rectified.

Don't reread the last paragraph; it doesn't make any more sense the second time you read it.

As to the main point of this chapter, Build Back Better is imposed on the local governments, and they acquiesce if they want to have any chance of surviving as a community after a disaster. And, it sprang from the United Nations.



## CHAPTER ELEVEN

# THEY WANT YOUR CHILDREN

---

One of the worst aspects of the environmental program contained in Agenda 2030 is the fact that the international Marxists want to control how many children you have, and then in turn control the children you do have.

Most people know that Communist China had a one-child rule from the late 1970s to 2015. Couples were only allowed one child and — what people do not realize — even then they had to get permission from the authorities in order to do that. Since 2015, China has had a two-child rule.

Environmental groups promote population control, and books or reports such as *The Limits to Growth* and *A Blueprint for Survival* promoted by environmentalist globalists in the Club of Rome and Sierra Club set the stage for support for population control around the world as well as in China.

The Chinese population-control system has undergone several changes, but the government still regulates the number of births per couple. The policy is enforced by fines, forced sterilization, etc. Their policies have wreaked havoc on the demographics of the population. Since it is the culture of China to want a male child, females are disproportionately the victims of abortion. Even so, once a son is born, many couples want a bride for their son later on, so

many female children are kidnapped to live with the couples until they reach marriageable age.

There have been documentaries on television exposing this problem in China, but most Americans do not have a clue about this practice. The number of female children kidnapped in any given year usually surpasses 80,000. It is against the law to post pictures of the girls in neighborhoods in an attempt to find them, since the authorities do not want to let people know just how bad this problem is.

In fact, the problem is international in scope. In 2019, Singapore news organization CNA Insider reported that girls were being kidnapped in northern Vietnam and taken to China as potential brides. One victim told CNA: “When I was there, they threatened to dissect me for my organs if I wouldn’t get married.”

Children born outside of the regulatory system are never issued the Chinese equivalent of a Social Security number. This means they operate outside of the system and hope they do not get caught.

Of course, Communist Party officials have always been exempt from such tedious regulations.

This sort of system is being proposed around the world, even though it is couched in language that softens the point they want to make. The language is set to move people toward the conclusion they want, but not to reveal the real end in mind until it is too late.

If fact, this is so much the case that there are “citizens” groups that promote mandatory regulations similar to Communist China’s, and criticize the UN for not going far enough.

This has long been the tactic of the Left: start a group that advocates something radical, and then organize an-

other, even more radical group to make the original group look moderate. In this manner, the people endorse the more “moderate” demands as a compromise — which is what the Left wanted all along.

This tactic has been used particularly in the abortion debate over the years. Thus, the ability to abort children moved from cases of rape and incest, to saving the life of the mother, to later and later-term abortion, until even the 2019 governor of Virginia advocated debating over the life of the child after it was born.

This is an argument for people to stand on their principled ground and not compromise. Continual compromise will ultimately lead to the loss of all rights and morality, step by step.

To distract people from what they really want to do to them, the UN will point people in a different direction: “They don’t really mean you, they mean someone else will be controlled — don’t worry.” For instance, to keep Americans from being alarmed about UN population controls affecting them, the UN usually points to areas such as Africa and Asia as the root of the overpopulation problem — not the Caucasian areas.

It is similar to placing Planned Parenthood abortion clinics in the black areas of our cities: “We want to eliminate the black population, not the whites. Don’t worry — we are not coming after you.” (Though ultimately they are.) In this way they do two things at once — promote abortion and create racial animosity.

That the original abortionists pursued a racist, genocidal agenda sounds unbelievable, but it is true. Margaret Sanger, eugenicist founder of Planned Parenthood, admit-



ted as much in a letter, a part of which was quoted in *USA Today* on July 23, 2020. In that letter she admitted: “We do not want word to go out that we want to exterminate the Negro population....”

The various agencies of the UN dazzle us with all manner of subjects within the area of population (adolescents, youth, aging, the environment, family planning, fertility, HIV/AIDS, marriage, mortality, population policies, population trends, urbanization, etc.) — after they scare everyone by leading off with the idea that the global population is growing too large and will probably be unsustainable by 2045, either from a lack of food or too much pollution.

They are setting the stage for the type of controls imposed by China, even though they claim to be for the children, wanting to set up programs to help children survive either illness or starvation. It doesn't compute, as they say, when the same entities who want to curtail the number of people, at the same time want to help them survive, particularly in Africa and Asia.

It would seem to be rather schizophrenic, except that it is a strategy to catch anyone who either wants to decrease population or really cares for the children in the UN trap for controlling the population, one way or another.

Agenda 2030 has programs for sustainable development as part of population control. All of this is presented as a benefit of limited population for people down the road.

Their arguments then evolve into the quality of education and life for adolescents and young people, constantly bombarding people with the underlying idea that fewer people means better lives, better education, and better behavior by adolescents.

This is not just about restricting the number of children you may have, but about restricting your ability to educate them as you see fit.

All of this propaganda of future bliss due to lower population is interposed between smiling faces of beautiful children.

On the other hand, go to the UN Population Fund website and see what scare tactics they promote regarding abused young girls, the COVID-19 pandemic, child marriage, etc., all aimed at the need for the UN to intervene to “protect” all children worldwide.

Interspersed within it all is the idea that the need to educate children about sex is paramount.

It all boils down to fewer people: fewer children, with those children being educated to international norms such as Common Core in the U.S.

There is no one area where the master plan is completely laid out. It is a mass of seemingly unrelated agenda items, but when a study is made of it all, there emerges a pattern. When you look at the various programs promoted by the UN and their supporters in this country, then the overall program comes into focus.

In the area of education, the program for indoctrinating our children in globalism has been going on for many years. The inclusion of Council on Foreign Relations members in this initiative has been striking.

The CFR was founded to persuade the American people and government to promote a New World Order, subordinating our Constitution and the independence of the American people to a one-world government. One of the best exposés of this plan and the harm it has generated is *In the Shadows of the Deep State: A Century of Council on Foreign Rela-*

*tions Scheming for World Government.\**

Because the education system in America was a concern with the voters, both Ronald Reagan and Donald Trump advocated the elimination of the Department of Education and restoring the responsibility for educating children closer to the parents. Neither President fulfilled this promise.

Over the years, the men and women in charge of the federal departments concerning the education of our children often have been members of the CFR, insuring that an internationalist viewpoint would be interjected into the classrooms. Several national programs were instituted to address the fact that the educational proficiency of our students was deteriorating. While ostensibly designed to rectify this problem, they all did the opposite and made it worse, to where American students were falling behind foreign students in basic education.

Finally, Common Core was established nationally with the intent of internationalizing the standards of education, but was sold as all the previous programs were — as a means to improve education. What had really been going on with American education for many decades was incremental steps toward dumbing down American students. It was never done so blatantly as to be noticed by the majority of parents, who had received a bad education themselves. In some cases where parents did notice, they felt that it wasn't important enough to make a major issue of it, since it wasn't that much of a deterioration from their own education.

Common Core became a hot-button issue under the Obama administration because it took too large a step toward destroying American education, and a large number of parents took notice — so much so that it became a campaign

\* Available from ShopJBS.org.

issue in the 2016 election.

Most parents, however, did not notice the international flavor of the curriculum. It was a double-pronged attack, on both Americanism and educational proficiency.

Why, many will ask, would people work deliberately to downgrade American education? The answer is, for the same reason they want to use environmentalism: to control the people. It is easier to enslave people who are not well educated, not just being unable to read and write, but lacking a knowledge of history, logic, philosophy, the foundations of Americanism, etc.

As stated in the beginning, there are those who mean to rule, and in a free country, reaching that goal takes a subtle process including the deterioration of education over time.

Two of the chief advocates of Common Core were Bill and Melinda Gates. They used their Gates Foundation to finance the building of Common Core and the creation of propaganda campaigns to convince those in the education field to adopt it. The Gates Foundation has been led by such individuals as Sylvia Mathews Burwell, who was on the board of the CFR.

Trump promised that he would get rid of Common Core, though in a sense it was too late, as the Republican congressional leadership had already preempted the will of parents. All that happened was that Common Core received a face-lift and got a new name (Every Student Succeeds Act — ESSA); it remains part of the national curriculum. This was done before Trump was elected.

Some states renamed their Common Core curriculum, such as the “Next Generation Sunshine State Standards” (Florida) or the “Indiana College and Career Academic

Standards,” in an attempt to placate parents who were concerned about it. These rebranding charades did not significantly change the curriculum.

ESSA was an 1,100-page bill that Speaker of the House Paul Ryan gave to Congress with just 16 hours to read before he pushed through its passage. This was in mid-December 2015, during the Christmas season when most people were concerned about the holidays. It retroactively legitimized all the unconstitutional aspects of Obama’s Common Core and empowered the federal government to have authority over all state curricula. *For the first time, the federal government was given the power to approve or disapprove the 50 state curricula.* In other words, this was nationalization of education.

The primary supporter of Common Core, Obama’s Secretary of Education Arne Duncan, stated that he could not have hoped for anything better. And, it was given him by the Republican congressional leadership.

Most Americans objected to Common Core due to its radical methods of instruction and what was being taught relative to the basics. It was an abandonment of the classical methods of teaching and instruction, and this became the crux of what parents objected to. However, and this could readily be proven by looking deeper, the purpose of Common Core was to instill in every student the idea that he is part of a global community and that internationalism is superior to Americanism, our form of government, and the Constitution.

Along with this, it was filled with the goals of Agenda 2030.

Once all of the above would be accomplished, the next step of an international Common Core curriculum would be imposed. The Common Core advocates bragged that they wanted every student from any city in the U.S. to be taught

the same as a student in Shanghai, Paris, etc., and that every student in the world would be at the same place in the international curriculum on any given day. With an international basis, the standards would have to be monitored by an international organization, such as UNESCO, which is heavily into Agenda 2030.

In the United States, several educational initiatives have been promoted by members of the CFR. The CFR has even formed task forces to promote the education of our youth in internationalism and environmentalism.

The teachers unions have also gotten into the act, and they are affiliated with Education International, a worldwide union of teachers unions formed by Albert Shanker, an American who was a leader in the Socialist Party of America.

Surfing online through the education unions and education committees will reveal that they are all locked into the agenda of the internationalists and the Agenda 2030 environment program.

One of the initiatives you will find is the International Facility for Education, under the leadership of former British Prime Minister Gordon Brown, who on more than one occasion has called for the New World Order in his major speeches.

The Agenda 2030 Sustainable Development Goals are designed to give control to the United Nations in matters of the environment, poverty elimination, and quality education, while using partnership organizations to promote their agenda. Two of the four American residents on the board are interesting: Lawrence Summers (CFR) of Harvard, and Anthony Lake, executive director of UNICEF.

Summers has been a creature of the leftist Deep State for decades, rising through the ranks of the Treasury De-

partment under President Clinton to the top spot as Treasury secretary in 1999. He served as president of Harvard University for a few years, and once held the position of chief economist of the World Bank, credibly demonstrating his internationalist bona fides. Still, he's considered a failure even by other leftists. His "career has been marked by a carnival of policy debacles," the left-leaning magazine *The American Prospect* said.

Anthony Lake, meanwhile, served with the Carnegie Endowment and has held many positions in the security apparatus of the U.S. government. President Clinton nominated him as the director of the CIA, but Lake later withdrew his candidacy due to the information circulated by members of The John Birch Society exposing his questionable pro-communist background.

Another organization helping to promote the international education agenda is the Global Partnership for Education, whose task is to be a "major delivery agency" of the Sustainable Development Goals. The CEO is Alice Albright (CFR), who has served with JPMorgan and Citibank.

An entire book could be written outlining the organizations that promote education including the internationalist/environmental agenda, and that are under the leadership of members of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Let's now look at the involvement of businesses in the Agenda 2030 plan.

## CHAPTER TWELVE

# SHOP GLOBALLY

---

There are many national and international corporations involved in the Agenda 2030 program. For those who believe in private enterprise and the independence of business from draconian regulation, it is hard to fathom how businesses could be involved in something that in the long run would destroy them.

The root of the problem is twofold: one, the education of the people running the corporations, and two, the fact that few corporations are actually run by entrepreneurs; they are run by managers, not owners.

The education these managers receive in colleges is geared toward internationalism and the environment. Look at any college today and what you see on campus is an education geared toward socialism, environmentalism, and an international outlook. Conservatives and patriotic professors and organizations are silenced.

In addition, the Chinese have great influence in American universities. Some of this influence has come to light as part of the Confucius Institutes, established on over 100 campuses at one time. The Chinese pay the universities to open these institutes. In some cases, millions of dollars are being paid to prestigious colleges to influence what is taught.



The Confucius Institutes are used to indoctrinate American students in Marxism, and at the same time monitor Chinese students on campus to see that they do not stray from communist control while in America.\*

Behind the scenes, the Chinese are infiltrating research labs and using People's Liberation Army officers posing as students to steal research done in America, particularly on college campuses. American professors have been found taking bribes and working with the Chinese communists.

The problem with Chinese infiltration is so bad that in 2020, FBI Director Christopher Wray said, "We are opening a new Chinese counterintelligence investigation almost every 10 hours."

The influence of the Council on Foreign Relations is very much at play at universities, and the CFR and college organizations are at work promoting internationalism and the Agenda 2030 program.

So we see that the students who go on to run major corporations are steeped in internationalist programs and run their companies accordingly.

They are more interested in the bottom line than how their actions help or hurt America. Since they have not been taught the basic principles of Americanism at any point in their education, they don't think about them. They have never been taught how the Constitution, the Declaration of Independence, the Bill of Rights, etc., are the documents that espouse the blessings of liberty and why they need to be adhered to.

\* For a comprehensive look at Chinese infiltration in America, read *China: The Deep State's Trojan Horse in America*, available from ShopJBS.org.

Moreover, they have never been taught how the United Nations is the opposite of the American system.

Further, logic is no longer taught. Business managers have never been taught how to think things through, other than how their bottom line will be affected by their decisions.

They have little or no clue how their decisions will affect the freedom of the American people.

In addition, they often look to business associations for guidance. The problem with this is that the business associations, by and large, have also come under the control of the CFR. For instance, the executive vice president and head of international affairs for the Chamber of Commerce is Myron A. Brilliant, a member of the CFR who has ties to the Brookings Institution, which is run by CFR members. Thomas J. Donohue, CEO of the chamber, is also president of the Center for International Private Enterprise, a core institution of the National Endowment for Democracy.

Since its founding, the National Endowment for Democracy's president has been the same man, Carl Gershman. As a young man, he was an officer in the youth arm of the socialist party, the Young People's Socialist League. As an adult, he was executive director of the Social Democrats, USA, renamed from the Socialist Party. ("Social democrat" sounds much better than "socialist.")

The National Endowment is financed by the U.S. State Department, and in turn helps finance such organizations as the Center for International Private Enterprise. It is all a sham designed to promote international governmental control and make it look like free enterprise.

Similarly, the Business Roundtable's chairman of the board is Jamie Dimon of JPMorgan Chase, also a member

of the CFR. The members of the Roundtable are the CEOs of just over 100 major corporations. Nearly forty percent of the corporations represented are corporate members of the CFR.

This is real influence, and this influence radiates out from these two organizations into other business associations.

Those not under the direct influence of the internationalists are only concerned whether Agenda 2030 is a “good deal.” The average businessman, manufacturer, and farmer/rancher is assured that it is a good deal for their “bottom line,” without understanding any of the problems involved relative to American sovereignty or domestic control of our economy.

One can find all manner of so-called business associations that promote internationalism and Agenda 2030.

There is *The Limits to Growth*, a report by the Club of Rome, signed onto by current and former heads of state, UN administrators, and high-level politicians. This report has been used to influence businessmen around the world toward the environmental program. To give you an idea of where this was coming from, an honorary member of this group was Mikhail Gorbachev.

The UN Global Compact is perhaps the largest business group promoting the UN's Agenda 2030 program. This organization claims to have 15,787 companies on board, 667 from the U.S. We could fill pages listing the American companies, but we only include a few herein to give you an idea: Kraft Heinz, Met Life, Brown Brothers Harriman, Lear, Whirlpool, Verizon, Mary Kay, AT&T, Hershey, Tyson Foods, Bank of America, Kellogg, General Motors, Clorox, Mars, Johnson & Johnson, United Airlines, General Elec-

tric, Pepsi, Ford, Coca-Cola, and Starbucks.

The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact are derived from the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Rio Declaration of Environment and Development (Agenda 21), and other UN initiatives.

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights sounds like a good thing to support. However, it is part of the UN rather than our Bill of Rights. After the Universal Declaration was adopted in December 1948, the United Nations produced the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights in December 1966 and placed it in full force in March 1976. It spelled out in greater detail what they failed to mention in the Declaration of Human Rights: how these rights were to be implemented.

The United Nations claims to uphold the people's rights, yet the UN International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights is very different from our Bill of Rights. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights enlisted the peoples of the world with a declaration that was fairly sound and promoted liberty. However, once this was accomplished, the real face of the UN was shown in the International Covenant.

We have already shown the difference between the covenant and the Bill of Rights, but it is important to remind the reader again at this point.

The United Nations International Covenant, Article 18, states:

Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.

It goes on to state:

Freedom to manifest one's religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law.

This is very different from the idea that government cannot pass a law limiting our rights, as stated in the Bill of Rights. In other words, according to the UN, you have the right to freedom of religion or thought as long as some government doesn't pass a law against it.

Again, in Article 19 of the UN Covenant:

Everyone shall have the right to hold opinions without interference.

But then it states:

The exercise of the rights provided for in ... this article carries with it special duties and responsibilities. It may therefore be subject to certain restriction, but these shall only be such as are provided by law and are necessary.

Again, very different from the spirit of the Bill of Rights, which states that government cannot regulate opinions or speech — period.

The covenant goes on to limit freedom of assembly and association with others — as prescribed by law — if necessary. The slippery slope to the elimination of people's rights is built right into the UN's statement of rights.

The companies and people associated with UN committees and initiatives may state that they support human rights,

but the evidence of the intent of the UN says otherwise.

Major corporations are in bed with the UN and Agenda 2030 because of their education and the influence of major business associations under the influence of the CFR. They have not thought through the full ramifications of what they are supporting because they have not looked at the full extent of the aims of the United Nations.

As a result, organized Marxists are not always visible, hiding their agenda behind the so-called business community. After all, businesses are anti-Marxist, right? A large number of business organizations and businesses have become “useful idiots” for those who mean to rule us.



## CHAPTER THIRTEEN

# CONCLUSION

---

It is all too easy to become discouraged when looking into the Agenda 2030 program, due to the inroads already made into American society in support of this UN plan.

It is obvious that the agenda has a very sinister goal and is intended to involve every person on Earth, if the international Marxists have their way. By involve, we mean control.

While it may seem to be overwhelming and that there is nothing you can do to stop it, this is exactly what the internationalists want you to think. They want you to be so demoralized that you give up. Yet there are successes against them here and there, and their timetables have been continually missed as a result.

One of the most recent successes of grassroots organizing has been the campaign to expose ICLEI and have this organization kicked out of local governments all around the country. It has been so successful that ICLEI changed its website so that the average citizen could not see for himself if his local government was a member and then organize against them.

Once people understood what ICLEI was, the public pressure in many communities forced the local city councils or county commissioners to drop their membership. In many



instances, the local authorities had no idea that they were even members of ICLEI. It was something that was initiated by staff employees, not the councils or commissions. They had no idea where the ideas for environmental regulations were being generated. Once they did, many officials joined in the movement to get out of ICLEI.

Another aspect of local, individual work of exposing what is really going on is that most people do not understand exactly what is happening to them, nor do they understand the organizations behind it. Once the tactics are exposed, the environmental movement is forced to slow down, if nothing else.

Repeating President Franklin Delano Roosevelt:

In politics, nothing happens by accident. If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way.

If the people really understood the end result of the environmental movement, they would reject it. The environmental movement is in fact a stealth operation to control the people of the Earth and move them into a one-world government. This has long been the primary goal of the Marxists as spelled out in *The Communist Manifesto*. And, they mean to be the rulers.

A free, strong country — especially the United States — cannot be invaded and overcome. Our enemies need a different tactic, boring from the inside. One of the primary tactics they are using is the environmental movement. It sounds so nice and desirable. After all, who doesn't want to save the Earth?

Our educational system enlists our own children into the program, since they are very idealistic and the environmen-

tal program is sold as an ideal.

The Marxists have been active within the United States for a much longer time than almost all Americans realize. They have set the stage for what we see happening in our country today, and the environmental movement is, as we have shown, almost a universal problem, permeating wide swaths of American society, education, government, and business.

Since we know that nothing happens in politics that isn't planned, we need to know the planners. The planners of the environmental movement constitute a conspiracy. Conspiracy? If the goals and the personnel tend to be hidden from the people, then yes, it constitutes a conspiracy.

It further constitutes a conspiracy if the people who are caught up in the environmental agenda do not know the end result of their efforts.

One of the primary methods of exposing a conspiracy is the dissemination of information about what is really going on, and what the end result will be. If nothing else, exposure through an educational program will slow the process down. By slowing the process down, there is more time for further exposure and for people to realize what the outcome can be.

What do we mean by an educational program? We mean one in which a large number of citizens engage in passing out literature, showing videos, calling in to talk radio, using social media — a wide number of methods tied to a concerted program so that if everyone is doing the same things at the same time, it creates a synergy that produces waves of awareness across all of American society.

In other words, the results of that education are measured not arithmetically, but geometrically; the effect is multiplied rather than simply added to.

As more and more people are made aware of what is really going on, the timetable for the Conspiracy's implementation cannot be met, and too many things can go wrong in their overall agenda.

The John Birch Society has an action project to counter Agenda 2030. Your involvement in this project can enhance awareness of this issue within your circle of family, friends, and others with whom you come in contact.

There are other agendas involving the Marxists that border on the Agenda 2030 program. You may wish to familiarize yourself with these programs by going to the website of The John Birch Society (JBS.org) and learning more.

Now that you know what the problem is with Agenda 2030, what are you going to do about it? (If you do not, who will?)

For one thing, talk to your children about what they are learning in school, and help them understand the real story. You may find out that what your children are learning is not what you were taught, especially about the core foundations of our American system.

The homeschool movement includes millions of children today because parents have discovered that their children are not learning principles of Americanism and love of country. Additional millions of students are enrolled in private and religious schools as well. It takes some sacrificing on the part of parents to have their children taught in this manner, but they have found it is well worth it in the long run.

You are not alone, and your single voice can be added to the thousands of people who are already engaged in the battle to save the liberty, independence, and Constitution of the American people.

As people inform others, they start to band together to accomplish more as community activists. At first this process may seem slow, but once it starts rolling, the influence accelerates.

Part of staying informed is to subscribe to reliable publications. The best is *The New American* magazine (TheNewAmerican.com). This magazine reports on a wide spectrum of subjects dealing with our country's problems and their solutions.

Once people are associated with The John Birch Society, they have the advantage of receiving guidance in how to organize and how to function as informed citizens. They also find out how the Agenda 2030 program fits into a wider scheme for turning America into a Marxist state.

More importantly, they find and work with kindred spirits who love their country, and they are not working alone.

Responsibility is a key ingredient of maintaining liberty. We as free citizens of the United States have an individual responsibility to work to maintain our freedom, however little or much a person can do.

Letting "George do it," hasn't worked out so well. It is time to get involved to the extent one can.

Go to JBS.org to find out how you can get involved in the effort to save our freedoms.



# INDEX

---

## A

Acton, Lord, xi, 15  
Adams, John, 12  
African Leaders Malaria Alliance, 63  
*Agenda 21: The Earth Summit Strategy to Save Our Planet*, 25  
*Agenda 21/2030*, *passim*  
Albright, Alice, 56, 96  
Alibaba, 74  
America First, 33, 75  
Apple, 74  
Ark of Hope, 5, 6  
Ark of the Covenant, 5

## B

Biden, Joe, 15, 28, 38, 45, 65, 69, 79, 80, 82  
Bilderberg Group, 77  
Bill of Rights, *passim*  
*A Blueprint for Survival*, 87  
Boao Forum for Asia, 63  
Boyd, David, 82  
Brexit, 75  
Brilliant, Myron A., 99  
Brookings Institution, 99  
Brown, Gordon, 95  
Brundtland, Gro Harlem, 23  
Brundtland Commission, 23  
Burwell, Sylvia Mathews, 93  
Bush, George H. W., 24  
Business Roundtable, 99-100

## C

California Department of Education, 53  
Carbon Sense Coalition, 28  
Center for International Private Enterprise, 99  
Chamber of Commerce, 99  
Chan, Margaret, 24, 63  
China/Chinese, *passim*  
China Foreign Affairs University, 77  
China Scholarship Council, 62  
Chinese Catholic clergy, 75  
Chinese Communist Party, 11  
Citibank, 56, 74, 96  
Clinton, President Bill, 27, 44, 63, 96  
Club of Rome, 87, 100  
Coast Guard, 8  
Common Core, 54, 91-94  
*Communist Manifesto, The*, xi-xiii, 3, 9, 66, 73, 106  
Confucius Institutes, 97, 98  
Constitution, U.S., xi, 7, 12, 16, 17, 20, 33, 45, 54, 61, 77, 83, 91, 94, 98, 108  
Constitution of the Communist Party USA, 33  
Council of Councils, 40  
Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), *passim*  
Council on Sustainable Development, 27  
Czech Republic, 76

## D

Declaration of Independence, 6, 16,  
20, 54, 98  
Deep State, 20, 33, 62, 66, 67, 70, 71,  
73, 75, 76, 95  
Dimon, Jamie, 99  
Donohue, Thomas J., 99  
Duncan, Arne, 56, 94

## E

Earth Charter, 10  
Earth Summit Conference, 7, 23, 25  
Easter, 60  
Education International, 55, 95  
Emanuel, Rahm, 60  
Engels, Frederick, 9  
*Epoch Times*, 28  
Ethiopia, 23, 64  
Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA),  
93, 94

## F

Facebook, 74  
Fauci, Dr. Anthony, 62, 63, 69, 70  
*Federal Register*, 45  
*Foreign Affairs*, 65  
*Foreign Policy*, 39  
Franklin, Benjamin, 67  
Free Trade Commission, 43

## G

Garrison, Jim, Jr., 9  
Gates Foundation, Bill & Melinda, 62,  
63, 93  
Gates, Bill and Melinda, 93  
Gershman, Carl, 99  
Global Partnership for Education, 56, 96

Global Vaccine Action Plan, 63  
Goldman Sachs, 74  
Google, 74  
Gorbachev, Mikhail, 7-9, 66, 100  
Gorbachev Foundation, 8, 9  
Great Britain, 75  
Great Reset, 72-74, 76  
Green Cross International, 7-9  
Green Strides program, 53  
Gulag, 4, 57

## H

Hao Ping, 56  
Harvard, 65, 95, 96  
Helms, Jesse, 20  
Hiss, Alger, 25  
*The Holy Family*, 9  
Hungary, 76

## I

ICLEI World Congress, 35  
International Council for Local Envi-  
ronmental Initiatives (ICLEI), 31,  
32, 34, 35, 43, 105, 106  
International Covenant on Civil and  
Political Rights, 18-20, 101, 102  
International Facility for Education, 95  
Isaiah (The Book of), 48

## J

Japanese, 50  
Jarvis, William C., 48  
Jasper, William, 71  
Jefferson, Thomas, 48, 49  
JPMorgan, 56, 96, 99  
The John Birch Society, 34, 40, 41f,  
96, 108, 109  
Judeo-Christian, 6, 7, 60

## K

Kissinger, Dr. Henry, 65, 66  
 Koontz, Stephanie, 2  
 Krasnowski, Frank, 2  
 Krasnowski, Marc, 2

## L

Lake, Anthony, 63, 95, 96  
 Lamb, Henry, 27  
 Law of the Sea Treaty (LOST), 42, 44  
 Lighthizer, Robert, 43  
*The Limits to Growth*, 87, 100

## M

Madison, James, 12, 83  
 Mallory, Lt. Gen. Glynn C., Jr., 8, 9  
 Marx, Karl, xi, 9, 66, 73  
 Mazzucato, Mariana, 73  
 Mother Earth, 5

## N

NAFTA, 44  
 National Cancer Institute, 62  
 National Education Association, 55  
 National Endowment for Democracy, 99  
 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), 62, 63, 69  
 National Institutes of Health, 62  
 National Science Foundation, 62  
 National Science Foundation of China, 62  
*National Security Strategy of the United States*, 25  
 Navarro, Peter, 70  
 Nazis (National Socialists), 49, 57  
*The New American*, 71, 109  
 New World Order, *passim*

## O

Obama, President Barack, 14, 15, 28, 38, 40, 56, 60, 92, 94  
 Obamacare, 14  
*Our Common Future*, 23

## P

Paris Agreement (Paris climate accord), 28, 38-40, 44  
 People's Liberation Army, 62, 98  
 Phumaphi, Joy, 63  
 Planned Parenthood, 89  
 Poland, 76  
 Pope Francis, 74, 75  
 President's Council on Sustainable Development, 27  
 Presidio, 8, 9  
 Pressenza, 66

## R

Reagan, Ronald, 24, 92  
 Rio Earth Conference, 7, 23, 25  
 Rockefeller Foundation, 64, 72, 73  
 Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 106  
 Royal Institute of International Affairs, 71  
 Russia/Russian, 9, 10, 40, 50, 66  
 Ryan, Paul, 94

## S

*Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development*, 64  
 Schwab, Klaus, 76, 77  
 Seattle, 2, 61  
*SF Weekly*, 9  
 Sierra Club, 87  
 Simon, Diane Meyer, 7



6th U.S. Army, 8  
 Skull and Bones, 24  
 Social Democrats, 99  
 Socialist Party, 95, 99  
 Socialist Workers Party, 1, 2  
 Summers, Lawrence, 95-96  
 Sustainable development, 23, 29, 32, 42, 47, 52, 90  
 Sustainable Development Goals, 28, 56, 95, 96  
 Sustainable Development Summit, 28

## T

Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Dr., 23-24, 64  
 Ten Commandments, 5  
 Tepperman, Jonathan, 39-40  
 13% grade-level proficiency, 53  
 Tiananmen Square, 58  
 Tigray People's Liberation Front, 23, 64  
 Trump, President Donald, 15, 28, 38-40, 43, 55, 61, 62, 65, 69, 70, 75, 79, 80, 92, 93  
 TSA, 64

## U

UN World Conference on Disaster Reduction, 80  
 UNESCO, 55, 95  
 UNICEF, 63, 95  
 United Nations, *passim*  
 United Nations Charter, 17  
 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 38  
 United Nations Global Compact, 100, 101

United Nations High-Level Advisory Board on Sustainable Development, 77  
 United Nations Population Fund, 91  
 United States-Mexico-Canada Agreement (USMCA), 42, 43, 44  
 Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 101  
 University College London, 73  
 U.S. Department of Education, 53, 56, 92  
 U.S. Global Leadership Coalition, 54  
 U.S. State Department, 25, 99  
*USA Today*, 90

## W

*Wall Street Journal*, 14, 60, 65  
 Washington State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction, 53  
*The Washington Times*, 62  
 World Bank, 35, 96  
 World Economic Forum (WEF), 35, 71-74, 76, 77  
 World Health Organization (WHO), 23, 24, 52, 63-65, 69  
 WorldNetDaily, 27  
 World Socialist Party, 23  
 Wuhan virus, 57-59, 61

## Y

Yamada, Tachi, 63  
 Young People's Socialist League, 99  
 Young Socialist Alliance (YSA), 2  
 Youth Innovation Promotion Association of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, 62  
 Yuan Guiren, 56

# ABOUT THE AUTHOR

---



**Arthur R. Thompson** was born in Seattle, Washington, in 1938. He attended the University of Washington, the Washington Military Academy, and several art and design schools, and served as an officer in the Select Reserve Force of the Army National Guard. He worked for Boeing for several years, then published an intelligence newsletter after he worked in tandem

with the Police Intelligence Squad in his metropolitan area, where he helped stop planned riots in the inner city by communist groups. He helped the police infiltrate area communist organizations, and learned their strategies and tactics.

From 1982 to 1995, Thompson created and led small manufacturing businesses, which took him all over the United States and Western Europe. He also went to Europe on a political fact-finding tour for The John Birch Society in 1989, at which time he witnessed the Berlin Wall coming down and became acquainted with many businessmen, academics, and members of the West German Cabinet and the European Community (which became the European Union).

Mr. Thompson served on his small town's city council and as chairman of his local Chamber of Commerce, worked as an official and elector for the Republican Party, and was a local

leader in the State of Washington for the Christian Coalition.

Before and after his years in manufacturing, he served on the staff of The John Birch Society, and was CEO for 15 years, until 2020. While his children live in the Pacific Northwest, Mr. Thompson resides in Appleton, Wisconsin, with his wife, Joanne.

**Other books Mr. Thompson has written include:**

- *The Second Amendment: Under Attack From All Angles*
- *China: The Deep State's Trojan Horse in America*
- *The United Nations: Unity Through Tyranny*
- *In the Shadows of the Deep State: A Century of Council on Foreign Relations Scheming for World Government*
- *To the Victor Go the Myths & Monuments: The History of the First 100 Years of the War Against God and the Constitution, 1776-1876, and Its Modern Impact*
- *International Merger by Foreign Entanglements*



The Official Store of The John Birch Society

To order extra copies of this book, go to  
ShopJBS.org or call 1-800-342-6491



# How Can I Make a Difference?

*GETTING STARTED IS AS EASY AS 1,2,3*

**1**

## **Sign up for JBS news and action alerts**

- Stay informed with free content
- Visit [www.JBS.org/e-newsletter](http://www.JBS.org/e-newsletter) to sign up now

**2**

## **Contact your elected representatives**

- Local, state, and federal officials represent you
- Visit [www.JBS.org/act-now](http://www.JBS.org/act-now) for contact information

**3**

## **Join The John Birch Society**

- National concerted action multiplies your impact
- Visit [www.JBS.org/join](http://www.JBS.org/join) to apply for membership today

# The John Birch Society

P.O. Box 8040  
Appleton, WI 54912-8040  
(920) 749-3780 • [JBS.org](http://JBS.org)

“Less government, more responsibility,  
and — with God’s help — a better world.”











# THE UN'S AGENDA 2030

## MARXIST STEALTH PLAN FOR WORLD GOVERNMENT

How would you like to wake up one day to discover that you could no longer travel when and where you wished?

How about learning tomorrow that the industry in which you work has been outlawed and you no longer have a job?

Worse, what if your property was rezoned to prohibit single-family residences, requiring you to move to an apartment complex?

Outrageous? Of course, but there is a program being proposed and implemented that leads to all of the above and more. It is called Agenda 21/2030.

And, every member country of the United Nations has agreed to implement it under international controls.

Fantastic? Yes – but sometimes truth is stranger than fiction.

How are they going to get you to stand still for this program? Through fear.

They shut down the world due to fear of a pandemic, now they are going to try to do the same due to fear of the Earth dying very soon.

This book is an important exposé of what is really behind the environmental movement: power for an elite who mean to rule us, not to save the Earth.

It is well worth your time to read it — to learn about not only the problem, but what you can do about it.

THE JOHN BIRCH SOCIETY

770 N. Westhill Blvd.

Appleton, WI 54914

[www.JBS.org](http://www.JBS.org)